

Exhibit 1

Jahan C. Sagafi (SB# 224887)
 Rachel W. Dempsey (SB# 310424)
 Laura Iris Mattes (SB# 310594)
 OUTTEN & GOLDEN LLP
 One Embarcadero Center
 Thirty-Eighth Floor
 San Francisco, California 94111
 Telephone: (415) 638-8800
 Facsimile: (415) 638-8810
jsagafi@outtengolden.com
rdempsey@outtengolden.com
imattes@outtengolden.com

Adam T. Klein (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 Rachel M. Bien (SB# 315886)
 OUTTEN & GOLDEN LLP
 685 Third Avenue
 Twenty-Fifth Floor
 New York, New York 10017
 Telephone: (212) 245-1000
 Facsimile: (646) 509-2060
atk@outtengolden.com
rmb@outtengolden.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Proposed Class and
 Collective Members*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 OAKLAND DIVISION

ROXANA DEL TORO LOPEZ and ANA
 MEDINA, on behalf of themselves, and all
 others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Defendant.

Nancy L. Abell (SB# 088785)
 PAUL HASTINGS LLP
 515 South Flower Street
 Twenty-Fifth Floor
 Los Angeles, California 90071-2228
 Telephone: (213) 683-6000
 Facsimile: (213) 627-0705
nancyabell@paulhastings.com

Paul W. Cane, Jr. (SB# 100458)
 Jullie Z. Lal (SB# 279067)
 PAUL HASTINGS LLP
 101 California Street
 Forty-Eighth Floor
 San Francisco, California 94111
 Telephone: (415) 856-7000
 Facsimile: (415) 856-7100
paulcane@paulhastings.com
jullielal@paulhastings.com

*Attorneys for Defendant
 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.*

Case No. 4:17-cv-06255-YGR

**FIRST AMENDED COLLECTIVE,
 CLASS ACTION AND PAGA
 REPRESENTATIVE ACTION
 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

Room: 1, 4th Floor
 Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

Trial Date: None Set
 Date Action Filed: October 27, 2017

1 Subject to approval by the United States District Court for the Northern District of
2 California, this Collective, Class Action and PAGA Representative Action Settlement
3 Agreement sets forth the full and final terms by which Defendant Uber Technologies, Inc.
4 (“Uber”) and the Named Plaintiffs and Class Representatives, Roxana del Toro Lopez and
5 Ana Medina, on behalf of themselves, the State of California, and the Rule 23 Class and
the Collective Action Plaintiffs as defined below, have settled and resolved all claims that
have been raised in the Litigation.

6 RECITALS

7 WHEREAS, an amended collective, class and representative action Complaint
8 (“Complaint”) was filed on March 22, 2018, alleging (i) gender, race and national origin
9 discrimination in compensation, raises, job assignments, job code placement, evaluations
10 and ratings, promotions, demotions and other terms and conditions of employment; (ii)
unequal pay; (iii) failure to timely pay all wages due; (iv) unfair competition; and (v)
gender, race and national origin harassment;

11 WHEREAS, Uber, under new executive-level leadership, implemented many new
12 diversity and inclusion initiatives in 2017, implemented a new pay structure, made
13 compensation adjustments, and implemented other new employment practices that are
consistent with the objectives of this Agreement;

14 WHEREAS, Uber denies and continues to deny all of the allegations made and has
15 denied and continues to deny that it is liable or owes damages to anyone with respect to
16 the alleged facts or causes of action asserted. Nonetheless, without admitting or
17 conceding any liability or damages, the Parties have agreed to settle the lawsuit on the
terms and conditions set forth herein to avoid the burden and expense of continuing
litigation;

18 WHEREAS, the Parties engaged in a substantial pre-litigation and post-litigation
19 exchange of documents, data and analyses, had joint meetings with experts, and had a
20 series of meetings to explore their respective factual positions and arguments;

21 WHEREAS, the Parties engaged in settlement negotiations in January 2018 before
22 David Rotman, Esq., of Rotman Mediation Services, an experienced mediator who is
23 skilled in the resolution of complex class actions, including discrimination and fair pay
class and collection action lawsuits;

24 WHEREAS, the Parties and their counsel recognize that, in the absence of an
25 approved settlement, they would face a long litigation course, including extensive, costly
26 and time consuming discovery, a motion for class certification under Federal Rule of Civil
27 Procedure 23, a motion for certification and if certified, decertification, of the collective
28 action, motions for summary judgment, and trial and appellate proceedings that would
consume time and resources and present each of them with ongoing litigation risks and
uncertainties;

1 WHEREAS, the Parties wish to avoid these risks and uncertainties, as well as the
2 consumption of time and resources, through settlement pursuant to the terms and
3 conditions set forth herein;

4 WHEREAS, based upon their analysis and evaluation of a number of factors, and
5 recognizing the substantial risks of continued litigation, including the possibility that the
6 litigation, if not settled now, might result in no recovery or a recovery that is less
7 favorable to the Plaintiffs, counsel for Plaintiffs is satisfied that the terms and conditions
8 of this Agreement are fair, reasonable, and adequate and that this Agreement is in the best
9 interests of Plaintiffs, Rule 23 Class Members, and the Collective Action Plaintiffs;

10 WHEREAS, the class representatives believe that the settlement set forth herein
11 serves the best interests of Class Members based on all the facts and circumstances,
12 including the risk of significant delay and the uncertainty of class certification, as it
13 provides prompt relief for class Members;

14 WHEREAS, it is the desire of the Parties to fully, finally, and forever settle,
15 compromise, and discharge all disputes and claims arising from or related to the litigation
16 which exist between them and between the named Plaintiffs, the Rule 23 Class Members,
17 the Collective Action Plaintiffs, and the released parties;

18 NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants and promises set
19 forth herein, as well as the good and valuable consideration provided for herein, the
20 Parties agree to a full and complete settlement of the litigation on the following terms and
21 conditions:

22 1. DEFINITIONS

23 The following terms shall have the meanings defined in this Section, wherever
24 used in this Agreement, and in all of its exhibits:

25 1.1 **Agreement.** "Agreement" means this settlement agreement and all exhibits
26 attached to it.

27 1.2 **CAFA Notice.** "CAFA Notice" means the notice required pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
28 § 1715(b).

1.3 **California Class.** "California Class" means all women (of any race or national
origin) and all Latino/Latina/Hispanic, African American/Black, Native American,
Alaskan Native, and/or multiracial individuals (who are in part one of the
foregoing races) who are or were employed in a Covered Position in the State of
California by Uber Technologies, Inc., at any time from July 31, 2013 through the
date of the Order Granting Preliminary Approval.

1.4 **Class Counsel.** "Class Counsel" means the law firm of Outten & Golden LLP.

- 1.5 **Collective Action Plaintiffs.** “Collective Action Plaintiffs” means all women who are or were employed in a Covered Position in any of the 50 United States by Uber Technologies, Inc., at any time from July 31, 2014 through the date of the Order Granting Preliminary Approval who do not opt out of the monetary relief provisions of the Settlement and who affirmatively cash a settlement check that bears the legend: “I have received and read the Class Notice in *del Toro Lopez, et al. v. Uber Technologies, Inc.* By negotiating this check and accepting payment, I (i) consent to join in this lawsuit and the Equal Pay Act collective action, (ii) elect to participate in the Settlement, and (iii) agree that I have waived and released the Released Parties from all Released Claims as defined in the Settlement Agreement and in the Notice in this lawsuit. This Release shall become effective on the Effective Date.”
- 1.6 **Court.** “Court” means the Court having jurisdiction over this Litigation, at any stage (presently the United States District Court for the Northern District of California).
- 1.7 **Covered Position.** A “Covered Position” means Software Engineer I (10397), Software Engineer II (10407), Senior Software Engineer (10415), Senior Software Engineer II (20132) and Staff Software Engineer (10426) or their predecessor job codes. “Covered Position” does not include individuals currently or formerly employed as interns, temporary workers, independent contractors or contract workers by Uber or by any contractor of Uber.
- 1.8 **Defendant or Uber.** “Defendant” or “Uber” means Uber Technologies, Inc.
- 1.9 **Defendant’s Counsel.** “Defendant’s Counsel” means the law firm of Paul Hastings LLP.
- 1.10 **Effective Date.** “Effective Date” means the date on which the Court has entered the Order Granting Final Approval, provided that no timely objection has been made or that all timely objections have been resolved or withdrawn, thus triggering the appeal waiver in Section 10.4.7. If an objection to the Settlement is timely filed and has not been resolved or withdrawn by the date on which the Court has entered the Order Granting Final Approval, the Effective Date shall be the date on which the deadline for taking an appeal has passed, or, if there is an appeal of the Court’s Order Granting Final Approval, the day after all appeals are fully and finally resolved in favor of final approval of the Agreement.
- 1.11 **EPA.** “EPA” means the Equal Pay Act of 1963, as amended, 29 U.S.C. 206(d).
- 1.12 **Fairness Hearing.** “Fairness Hearing” means the hearing during which the Court shall finally approve the Agreement and make such other rulings as are contemplated by this Agreement or as modified by any subsequent mutual agreement of the Parties in writing and approved by the Court.

- 1.13 **Fund B Calculation Notice.** “Fund B Calculation Notice” means the individualized notice sent by the Settlement Administrator to each Class Member who timely submits a Claim Form, informing them of (a) the dollar value of their shares of the Fund A and Fund B and (b) the deadline by which they can opt out or object to the Settlement.
- 1.14 **Gross Fund.** The “Gross Fund” means the common settlement fund account in the sum of Ten Million Dollars (\$10,000,000) that is established by the Settlement Administrator and shall be used to pay all settlement payments to the Rule 23 Class and the Collective Action Plaintiffs; all Service Award(s); the LWDA Payment; Class Counsel’s attorneys’ fees (including fees for monitoring the settlement), costs, and expenses; and the fees and expenses of the settlement administrator. It shall not be used to pay the employer’s share of payroll taxes, which shall be paid by Uber separate and apart from (*i.e.*, in addition to) the Gross Fund. There shall be no reversion of any monies from the Gross Fund to Uber. Uber shall not be responsible for any additional payment not expressly set forth in this Agreement.
- 1.15 **Litigation.** “Litigation” means the First Amended Complaint filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California Case No. 4:17-cv-06255-YGR, on behalf of Named Plaintiffs, and the allegations and claims made therein.
- 1.16 **Named Plaintiffs and Class Representatives.** “Named Plaintiffs” and “Class Representatives” means Roxana del Toro Lopez and Ana Medina.
- 1.17 **Nationwide Class.** “Nationwide Class” means all women (of any race or national origin) and all Latino/Latina/Hispanic, African American/Black, Native American, Alaskan Native, and/or multiracial individuals (who are in part one of the foregoing races) who are or were employed in a Covered Position by Uber Technologies, Inc., in the United States at any time from July 31, 2013 through the date of the Order Granting Preliminary Approval.
- 1.18 **Net Fund.** The “Net Fund” is defined as the Gross Fund minus court-approved Class Counsel’s attorneys’ fees (including fees for monitoring the settlement), costs, and expenses (*see* Sections 5.2.5 – 5.2.6); class representative Service Awards (*see* Section 5.2.3); the fees and expenses of the Settlement Administrator (*see* Section 5.2.4); and the PAGA Payments (*see* Sections 5.2.1 – 5.2.2). The Net Fund shall be divided into Fund A and Fund B.
- 1.18.1 Fund A shall be distributed to Class Members without the need for the submission of a Claim Form, based on the plan of allocation set forth in Section 5.4.

1.18.2 Fund B shall be distributed to Class Members who timely file Claim Forms, pursuant to, and are deemed by the Settlement Administrator to be eligible for an award under, Section 5.5. Any remainder not claimed and awarded from Fund B shall be redistributed to Fund A. No amount shall revert to Uber.

1.19 **Notice.** “Notice” means the Notice of Collective, Class Action and PAGA Representative Action Settlement, substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A, and as approved by the Court.

1.20 **Order Granting Final Approval.** “Order Granting Final Approval” means the final order entered by the Court after the Fairness Hearing.

1.21 **Order Granting Preliminary Approval.** “Order Granting Preliminary Approval” means the Order entered by the Court preliminarily approving, *inter alia*, the terms and conditions of this Agreement, the manner and timing of providing Notice, and the time period for, and the manner of, opt-outs and objections.

1.22 **PAGA.** The California Private Attorneys General Act (“PAGA”), Cal. Lab. Code §§2698-2699.

1.23 **PAGA Penalty.** “PAGA Penalty” refers to the Fifty Thousand Dollar (\$50,000) civil penalty, allocated and distributed as provided by Sections 5.2.1 and 5.2.2.

1.24 **Parties.** “Parties” means the Plaintiffs and Defendant Uber Technologies, Inc.

1.25 **Plaintiffs.** “Plaintiffs” means, collectively, “Named Plaintiffs,” “Rule 23 Class Members,” and “Collective Action Plaintiffs.”

1.26 **Qualified Settlement Fund.** The Gross Fund shall be paid to a “Qualified Settlement Fund” established by the Settlement Administrator within ten (10) calendar days of the date on which the Court grants final approval of the settlement.

1.27 **Released Parties.** “Released Parties” means Uber Technologies, Inc. and all of its incumbent and former officers, directors, owners, members, managers, shareholders, investors, agents, insurers, attorneys, employees, fiduciaries, assigns, and representatives, in their individual and/or representative capacities.

1.28 **Rule 23 Class.** “Rule 23 Class” means, collectively, the “Nationwide Class” and the “California Class.”

1.29 **Rule 23 Class Members.** “Rule 23 Class Member” or “Class Member” means all individuals in the Rule 23 Class, as approved by the Court, who did not opt out of the monetary relief provisions of the Settlement.

1.30 **Service Award(s).** “Service Award” means the additional amounts paid to the Named Plaintiffs listed in Section 7.1, subject to Court approval, for their service in this Litigation.

1.31 **Settlement Administrator.** The “Settlement Administrator” means the entity selected by Class Counsel subject to Uber’s approval. JND Legal Administration has been selected as the Settlement Administrator.

2. GENERAL TERMS

2.1 It is the desire of the Parties to fully, finally, and forever settle, compromise, and discharge all disputes and claims arising from or related to the Litigation which exist between them, and between the Released Parties and the Rule 23 Class Action, Collective Action and PAGA Representative Plaintiffs.

2.2 It is the intention of the Parties that this Agreement shall constitute a full and complete settlement and release of all Released Claims against all Released Parties and a dismissal of all pending actions covered by the Released Claims.

2.3 Uber denies all claims as to liability, wrongdoing, damages, penalties, interest, fees, injunctive relief and all other forms of relief, as well as the class and collective allegations asserted in the Litigation. Uber has agreed to resolve the Litigation via this Agreement, but to the extent this Agreement is deemed void or the Effective Date does not occur, Uber does not waive, but rather expressly reserves, all rights to challenge any and all claims and allegations asserted by the Class Representatives in the Litigation upon all procedural and substantive grounds, including without limitation the ability to challenge class or collective action treatment on any grounds and to assert any and all other potential defenses or privileges. The Class Representatives and Class Counsel agree that Uber retains and reserves these rights, and they agree not to take a position to the contrary. Specifically, and the Class Representatives and Class Counsel agree that, if the Litigation were to proceed, they shall not argue or present any argument that Uber should be barred from contesting class action certification pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 on any grounds relating to this Agreement, pursuing decertification of the conditionally certified Equal Pay Act collective action, or asserting any and all other potential defenses and privileges. The Class Representatives and Class Counsel hereby waive any such argument, including any argument based on this settlement or this Agreement or any exhibit and attachment hereto, or any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of this settlement or this Agreement. This Agreement shall not be deemed an admission by, or a basis for estoppel against, Uber that class action treatment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 or conditional certification of the Equal Pay Act collective action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b) is proper or cannot be contested on any grounds. Additionally, neither the Agreement nor the settlement, nor any act performed or document executed

pursuant to, or in furtherance of, the Agreement or the settlement: (a) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission or evidence of the validity of any Released Claim, or of any wrongdoing or liability of the Released Parties, or any of them; or (b) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission or evidence of any fault or omission of the Released Parties, or any of them, in any civil, criminal, or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative agency, or other tribunal.

2.4 Class Members shall release the class claims pled in the First Amended Complaint in *del Toro Lopez v. Uber*, a copy of which is appended as Exhibit E. The release shall not cover claims for disability discrimination, retaliation or wrongful termination.

2.5 Neither this Agreement nor anything in it, nor any part of the negotiations that occurred in connection with the creation of this Settlement, shall constitute evidence with respect to any issue or dispute in any lawsuit, legal proceeding, or administrative proceeding, except for legal proceedings concerning the enforcement or interpretation of this Agreement.

2.6 The Parties agree to cooperate fully with each other, and shall aim to effectuate and implement all terms and conditions of this Agreement and to obtain the Court's approval of this Agreement and all of its terms. Each of the Parties, upon the request of any other, agrees to perform such further acts and to execute and deliver such other documents as are reasonably necessary to carry out the provisions of this Agreement.

3. BUSINESS PRACTICES PROGRAMMATIC RELIEF AND REPORTING

Uber shall implement the business practices described in subsections 3.1 through 3.7 of this Section for its operations in the 50 United States and the District of Columbia.

3.1 **Duration of Changes in Business Practices.** The actions in this Section shall be implemented with respect to the Covered Positions, commencing immediately upon the Effective Date, and shall remain binding on the Parties and their agents and successors for a three- (3-) year period following the Effective Date, unless a different timeframe is provided for a particular component of the changes in business practices described herein.

3.2 Diversity Objectives and Reporting.

3.2.1 Uber shall continue to monitor progress toward its diversity objectives (including but not limited to diversity objectives set forth in the recommendations prepared by Eric H. Holder, Jr., Partner, Covington & Burling LLP, which can be viewed at <https://drive.google.com/file/d/0B1s08BdVqCgrUVM4UHBpTGROLXM/v>

iew and which shall be posted publicly on the Settlement Administrator's website for this settlement) and shall report progress at least quarterly to its executive leadership team and members of its Board of Directors. Highlights shall be shared with Uber employees quarterly by the Chief People Officer.

3.2.2 Uber shall continue to publicize its diversity representation to its work force at least twice annually.

3.2.3 Every member of Uber's executive leadership team shall participate in a semi-annual business review with Uber's CEO, which includes the organization's diversity representation, pipeline, diversity growth progress, and actions taken to increase the representation of women and under-represented minority groups.

3.2.4 Uber shall require all new hires and current employees to complete diversity and inclusion training.

3.3 Independent Consultant to Work with Uber on Validated Classification and Selection Practices for Class Positions.

3.3.1 Uber shall retain APT Metrics or a similar expert who specializes in industrial organizational psychology (the "Independent Consultant") to consult with Uber in reviewing and modifying, if appropriate, the following for the Engineer I and II, Senior Engineer I and II, and Staff Engineer positions. The Independent Consultant shall work directly with the Engineering and People representatives who have been designated by Uber and are responsible for this work internally:

- (1) Minimum standards for applicants for each job code;
- (2) Preferred qualifications for applicants for each job code (recognizing that additional preferred qualifications may be added for specific openings);
- (3) Core selection inquiries and documentation forms;
- (4) Leveling guides and guidance for leveling new hires in the proper job code;
- (5) A process and standards for setting a new hire's starting compensation within the job code's pay range established by Uber after its consideration of market surveys and market supply and demand;

- (6) A new-hire compensation offer work-up form to document new hire compensation decisions;
- (7) Performance/contribution assessment standards/criteria, including the inclusion of an EEO compliance measurement in the performance/contribution assessment for managers of people and members of the Human Resources organization; and
- (8) A validated promotion assessment process.

3.4 Evaluations, Promotions, and Compensation Rewards for Class Positions.

- 3.4.1 At the beginning of a PERF cycle, Uber shall notify employees of the PERF steps, timeline, performance/contribution assessment standards/criteria, and deliverables each employee is entitled to receive.
- 3.4.2 Uber shall use PERF assessment forms and instructions that have been created with input by the Independent Consultant.
- 3.4.3 Employees shall have an opportunity to submit written input in an online tool on their performance and qualifications, by a specified date in each PERF cycle. An employee's submission of this information is not a prerequisite for rewards or promotion.
- 3.4.4 Uber's Human Resources team shall organize calibration groups that facilitate comparison of individuals who are performing similar work in the same Vice President organization (or a combination of such organizations if Uber deems it appropriate).
- 3.4.5 Uber shall make its best efforts to have each calibration group led by an individual who has received training on leading calibration groups. The leader shall read or have sub-group leaders read the timely submissions of every employee in the calibration group. The training, which may be online, shall include the leader's role in ensuring a review of every employee's performance/contributions and competencies, in looking for bias and discrimination in the review process, and in ensuring that responsibility (favorable and unfavorable) is properly attributed. If Uber uses a separate promotion assessment process, the process leaders shall receive analogous training.
- 3.4.6 Managers who participate in the calibration, PERF and promotion assessment processes must first complete training on the job-related PERF practices, preparation required by them, and implicit bias, which can be online or in person, before participating in the calibration or other assessment process.

1 3.4.7 Uber shall require managers to complete specified preparation on each
2 employee they manage before participating in a calibration or assessment
3 meeting, including a review of timely employee submissions about their
4 own performance as provided in Section 3.4.3, and to conduct any follow-up
prescribed by the calibration team leader before finalizing the employee's
written performance/contribution and promotion assessment.

5 3.4.8 Uber shall consider significant leadership contributions to its employee
6 resource groups and diversity and inclusion programs in awarding annual
7 cash bonuses.

8 3.4.9 Uber shall monitor the base salary, all bonuses and promotions for adverse
9 impact based upon race/Hispanic status (for any group that comprises at
10 least 2% of the work force) and gender at the conclusion of each PERF
cycle. Any promotions that were made off cycle shall be included in the
analysis for the subsequent cycle.

11 **3.5 Flexible Work Arrangements, Maternity and Parental Leave, and Other**
12 **Policies.**

13 3.5.1 Uber shall maintain and make available to all employees written policies on
14 maternity, paternity, and parental leave; accommodation for breastfeeding
15 and the location of lactation rooms; flexible work hours; and the procedure
for requesting a reduced schedule with reduced pay.

16 3.5.2 Uber shall appoint a Flexible Work Liaison in Human Resources to receive
17 and work with employees and their managers on requests for flexible work
schedules, reduced hours for reduced pay, and maternity/parental leaves.

18 3.5.3 The employee's manager shall make the ultimate decision whether to
19 approve a flexible work schedule or reduced hours for reduced pay as well
20 as the duration of the schedule based on agreed criteria (with the caveat that
21 employees on flexible work schedules or reduced hours must be available as
22 needed to fulfill business requirements that arise), and shall document and
communicate to the employee and the Flexible Work Liaison the decision
reached and reasons for denying a request, if the request is not granted.

23 **3.6 Access to Management and Executive Leadership.**
24

25 3.6.1 Uber shall offer a mentor to every Class Member. All mentors shall be
26 trained on their roles, Uber's expectations and techniques for success. Uber
27 shall invite feedback from mentees on the engagement and effectiveness of
28 their mentors and shall use that feedback to guide further training, mentor
feedback and mentee assignments.

3.6.2 All executive leadership team members shall meet at least annually with the following employee resource groups: LadyEng, LosUbers, and UberHue (or their successors).

3.7 **Support to Address Skill Gaps.** All Software Engineer I new hires shall receive a check-in approximately three months after their date of hire. At that time, Uber shall outline in writing any technical and non-technical skill or competency gaps detected as of that date, the resources Uber shall make available to address them, the steps the employee should take to address them (including self-study), and a date for a follow-up assessment of progress.

3.8 **Structure Changes.** If Uber changes the Covered Positions (*e.g.*, consolidates or divides them into different job codes), the provisions of Section 3 shall apply to the successor job codes during the term of this Agreement.

3.9 **Monitoring and Reporting.** Uber shall provide written reports to Class Counsel setting forth its progress in implementing its commitments under Section 3 of this Agreement (“Compliance Reports”) and shall meet with Class Counsel to discuss such progress in accordance with the following schedule following the Effective Date:

3.9.1 For the first two years following the Effective Date, such reporting and meetings shall occur twice yearly, as close as feasible to the 6-month anniversaries of the Effective Date.

3.9.2 At the end of the third, final year following the Effective Date, Uber shall provide a final written report and the parties shall meet for a final time, as close as feasible to the three-year anniversary of the Effective Date.

4. **COMPLIANCE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROCEDURE FOR BUSINESS PRACTICE CHANGES**

4.1 **Special Master.** No later than thirty (30) calendar days after the Effective Date, the Parties shall jointly request that the Court appoint, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 53, a Special Master. The Parties have selected Fred Alvarez, Esq., as the Special Master. In the event that the appointee becomes unavailable, the Parties shall meet and confer on a replacement and jointly request that the Court appoint a replacement.

4.2 **Good Faith Review.** No later than thirty (30) calendar days after service of Uber’s Compliance Reports (*see* Section 3.9), or, if at any time during the course of this programmatic relief, Class Counsel identifies other grounds that they believe constitute non-compliance with the terms of this Agreement, Defendant’s Counsel and Class Counsel shall confer on whether Uber has complied with the obligations under the terms of this Agreement. If the Parties disagree, then Class

Counsel shall, within fourteen (14) calendar days, submit to the Special Master a statement of position contending that Uber failed to comply with the obligations under the terms of this Agreement. Uber may, within fourteen (14) calendar days of receiving that statement of position, submit a response/opposition to the Special Master.

4.3 Review by Special Master. Upon receiving the Parties' submissions, the Special Master may request additional written submissions, or require the Parties to appear for a hearing, if appropriate. In any event, within thirty (30) calendar days of receiving the Parties' submission(s), the Special Master shall issue a written decision regarding whether Uber has met its obligations or is non-compliant with the Agreement in any respect. If Uber has not met its obligations or is non-compliant with the Agreement, it shall have thirty (30) calendar days following receipt of the Special Master's decision to meet the obligations or otherwise cure any non-compliance. If Uber fails to cure within this time period, Plaintiffs or their representatives may seek to enforce compliance through the Court.

4.4 Objections to Special Master's Decision. Should either Party disagree with the Special Master's ruling, such Party may file an objection before the assigned district court judge pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 53 after first conferring in good faith with the other Party in an effort to resolve the dispute.

4.5 Special Master Fees. Uber shall pay the Special Master's fees and expenses, if any, separate and apart from the Settlement Fund.

5. MONETARY RELIEF

5.1 Gross Fund Payment. No later than ten (10) calendar days after the date on which the Court grants final approval of the settlement, Uber shall wire Ten Million Dollars (\$10,000,000) to the Settlement Administrator for the Gross Fund.

5.2 Gross Fund. The Gross Fund shall be deposited into a common fund and allocated as follows, as of the Effective Date:

5.2.1 Thirty-Seven Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$37,500) for the PAGA Penalty payment to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") (*i.e.*, 75% of the total PAGA Penalty);

5.2.2 Twelve Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$12,500) (*i.e.*, 25% of the total PAGA Penalty), for distribution in accordance with Section 5.3 among the California Class Members for pay periods worked in California from June 22, 2016 through the date of Order Granting Preliminary Approval;

5.2.3 Service Awards as awarded by the Court, in an aggregate amount not to exceed Eighty Thousand Dollars (\$80,000) as described in Section 7.1 and

as approved by the Court. Should the Court award less, the remainder shall be added in proportionate shares to Funds A and B;

5.2.4 The fees and costs of the Settlement Administrator, estimated to be One Hundred Ten Thousand Dollars (\$110,000), including but not limited to the cost of Notice and processing of Claim Forms;

5.2.5 Class Counsel's costs as awarded by the Court, not to exceed One-Hundred Seventy Thousand Dollars (\$170,000). Should the Court award less, the remainder shall be added in proportionate shares to Funds A and B;

5.2.6 Class Counsel's attorneys' fees as awarded by the Court, not to exceed Three Million Dollars (\$3,000,000), which includes but is not limited to their continuing obligations under this Agreement. Should the Court award less, the remainder shall be added in proportionate shares to Funds A and B;

5.2.7 One Million Nine Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$1,900,000) to Fund B, defined in Section 1.18.2, which shall be distributed to Class Members who timely file Claim Forms, based on the plan of allocation set forth in Section 5.5. Any remainder not claimed and awarded from Fund B shall be redistributed to Fund A. No amount shall revert to Uber; and

5.2.8 The Gross Fund minus the amounts set forth in Sections 5.2.1 through 5.2.7 to Fund A, defined in Section 1.18.1, which shall be distributed to Class Members without the need for the submission of a Claim Form, based on the plan of allocation set forth in Section 5.4.

5.3 Allocation of the PAGA Penalty Payment to California Class Members Who Worked During Pay Periods from June 22, 2016 through Date of Order Granting Preliminary Approval ("PAGA Period").

The total sum of Twelve Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$12,500) shall be divided among California Class Members for pay periods worked in California during the PAGA Period for their claims for penalties under PAGA (liability commences on June 22, 2016). Each California Class Member's share shall be calculated by (i) dividing the number of pay periods the Class Member worked during the PAGA Period by the total number of pay periods worked by all California Class Members during the PAGA Period, and then (ii) multiplying the member's fractional percentage by \$12,500.

5.4 Allocation of Individual Monetary Awards from Fund A.

5.4.1 **Category 1: All Work Weeks Nationwide – July 31, 2013 through July 30, 2014.** For each work week they were paid for work from July 31, 2013 through July 30, 2014, all Rule 23 Class Members shall receive **one point**, adjusted by the appropriate Job Title Multiplier, as set forth in Table 1.

These points account for the following claims: claims under California Business and Professions Code Section 17200 *et seq.* and Section 1981 of the 1866 Civil Rights Act for the period from July 31, 2013 through July 30, 2014.

However, if any Class Member had previously signed a release encompassing claims covered by Section 5.4.1, the Class Member shall receive zero points under Section 5.4.1.

Table 1: Job Title Multipliers

Job Code Group	Job Title Multiplier
10397 (Software Engineer I)	1.0
10407 (Software Engineer II)	1.2
10415 (Senior Software Engineer)	1.4
20132 (Senior Software Engineer II)	1.6
10426 (Staff Software Engineer)	1.8

5.4.2 Category 2: All Work Weeks in California from July 31, 2014 through Date of Order Granting Preliminary Approval.

For each work week they were paid for work in California from July 31, 2014 through the date of Order Granting Preliminary Approval, the Rule 23 Class Members and the Collective Action Plaintiffs shall receive the **two and a half (2.5) points**, adjusted by the appropriate Job Title Multiplier, as set forth in Table 1. These points account for the following claims: claims under the Equal Pay Act of 1963, as amended, 29 U.S.C. 206(d) (liability period commences on July 31, 2014), Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (liability period commences on October 5, 2016), and Section 1981 of the 1866 Civil Rights Act, and their claims under the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, Cal. Gov't Code §12940, *et seq.* (liability period commences on July 31, 2016), the California Equal Pay Act, Cal. Lab. Code §1197.5 (liability commences on July 31, 2014), the California Fair Pay Act, Cal. Lab. Code §1197.5 (liability commences on January 1, 2016), the California Business & Professions Code §17200, *et seq.* (liability commences on July 31, 2014), and the California Labor Code §§201, 202, 203, 204, and 558.1 (liability commences on July 31, 2014).

1 However, if the Class Member had previously signed a release
2 encompassing claims covered by Section 5.4.2, the Class Member shall
3 receive fifty (50) percent of the points otherwise due for the time period
4 covered by the release.

5 **5.4.3 Category 3: All Work Weeks Outside of California from July 31, 2014**
6 **through Date of Order Granting Preliminary Approval.**

7 For each work week they were paid for work in the United States but
8 *outside of* the State of California from July 31, 2014 through the date of
9 Order Granting Preliminary Approval, the Nationwide Class Members and
10 the Collective Action Plaintiffs (Excluding those in California) shall receive
11 **two (2) points**, adjusted by the appropriate Job Title Multiplier, as set forth
12 in Table 1. These points account for the following claims: claims under the
13 Equal Pay Act of 1963, as amended, 29 U.S.C. 206(d) (liability period
14 commences on July 31, 2014), Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964
15 (liability period commences on October 5, 2016), Section 1981 of the 1866
16 Civil Rights Act, and the other state and local claims they are releasing
17 under this Agreement.

18 However, if the Class Member had previously signed a release
19 encompassing claims covered by Section 5.4.3, the Class Member shall
20 receive fifty (50) percent of the points otherwise due for the time period
21 covered by the release.

22 **5.4.4 Part-time Employees.** The points of any Rule 23 Class Member and
23 Collective Action Plaintiff who works or has worked on a part-time basis
24 shall be pro-rated consistent with the individual's base salary proration for
25 each work week for each point calculation in Sections 5.4.1 through 5.4.3.

26 **5.4.5 Calculating the Value of Each Collective Action Plaintiff's and Class**
27 **Member's Award from Fund A.**

28 **Step 1:** The Settlement Administrator shall sum each individual's total
points from Sections 5.3.1 through 5.3.3, to the extent any section is
applicable to the individual, applying the relevant multipliers.

Step 2: The Settlement Administrator shall calculate each individual's
percentage of the Settlement Awards Fund by dividing the individual's total
points as determined in Step 1 by the total points in Fund A of all Collective
Action Plaintiffs and Class Members who did not file Opt-Out Statements.

Step 3: The Settlement Administrator shall then multiply the individual's
percentage as determined in Step 2 by the amount of Fund A to determine
each individual's award.

1 **5.4.6 Uber to Provide Information.** No later than fifteen (15) calendar days
2 after the date of the Order Granting Preliminary Approval, Uber shall
3 provide the Settlement Administrator with the information required by the
4 Settlement Administrator to issue the Notice and finalize the initial
5 calculations required for Fund A, including the dates worked in each
 Covered Position through that Preliminary Approval date for all Collective
 Action Plaintiffs and Class Members.

6 **5.5 Allocation of Individual Monetary Awards from Fund B for Effects of**
7 **Harassment and Discrimination (Beyond Compensation Loss).**

8 **5.5.1** Rule 23 Class Members who experienced and seek an award for (a) gender,
9 race or national origin harassment or hostile work environment, regardless
10 of whether or not they sought medical or psychological treatment, and/or (b)
11 gender, race or national origin discrimination in compensation, raises, job
12 assignments, job code placement, evaluations, ratings, promotions,
13 demotions, or other terms and conditions of employment that caused them to
14 seek medical or psychological treatment, in either case while employed by
15 Uber on or after July 31, 2013, may submit a Claim Form to the Settlement
16 Administrator for an award from Fund B no later than the deadline set forth
17 in the Notice and Claim Form.

18 **5.5.2** The Settlement Administrator shall evaluate Claim Forms for Fund B based
19 on objective criteria, in light of the claims being released and the factual
20 showing made in each Claim Form. Class Counsel and Defendant's
21 Counsel (which is outside counsel, Paul Hastings LLP, as defined in Section
22 1.9) together shall assist the Settlement Administrator in its evaluations.
23 Each question in the Claim Form will be worth a designated number of
24 points, with a total of 100 possible points available per Claim Form. The
25 dollar value per point will be equal to the value of Fund B divided by the
26 aggregate total of all points awarded by the Settlement Administrator,
27 except that the maximum possible value per point shall be \$1,000, such that
28 the maximum possible value per Claim Form shall be One Hundred
 Thousand Dollars (\$100,000), unless the Parties agree that there are special
 circumstances warranting an upward adjustment. Any such upward
 adjustment will be disclosed to the Court in the Motion for Order Granting
 Final Approval.

5.5.3 The Settlement Administrator shall complete the evaluation no later than
 thirty-five (35) calendar days from the Claim Form submission deadline.

5.5.4 The Settlement Administrator shall make the final determination on the
 dollar awards from Fund B for each Rule 23 Class Member, subject to
 approval of the Court at the Final Approval Hearing.

1 5.5.5 Any amount remaining unallocated from Fund B shall be allocated to Fund
2 A.

3 5.6 **Distribution of Monetary Awards.** The Settlement Administrator shall
4 distribute the individual monetary awards accordingly on the time schedule
described herein and pursuant to the orders of the Court.

5 5.7 **Payroll Taxes.** Separate and apart from the Gross Fund, the Settlement
6 Administrator shall calculate and Uber shall pay to the Settlement Administrator
7 the employer's share of any statutory FICA, FUTA, and other payroll taxes arising
8 from any payments to Collective Action Plaintiffs and Class Members for wages.
9 The Settlement Administrator shall be responsible for remitting those taxes to the
10 appropriate state and federal taxing authorities, filing the required tax returns and
11 issuing the required IRS forms to the Class Members and Collective Action
12 Plaintiffs.

13 5.8 **Control of the Gross Fund.** The Gross Fund shall be controlled by the
14 Settlement Administrator subject to the terms of this Agreement and any orders of
the Court. Interest earned on the Gross Fund, if any, shall derive from interest
15 earned on uncashed checks, if any, and may be retained by the Settlement
16 Administrator to cover the cost of administering the resolution of those uncashed
17 checks.

18 5.9 **Common Fund.** The Gross Fund shall constitute a "common fund." Upon
19 opening this account, Uber shall execute an election statement provided by the
20 Settlement Administrator which shall be affixed to the initial tax return of the
21 Gross Fund in order to establish the start date of the Gross Fund. The Gross Fund
22 shall be created, managed and disbursed by the Settlement Administrator under
23 the supervision of Class Counsel and Defendant's Counsel. The Settlement
24 Administrator shall be the only entity authorized to make withdrawals or
25 payments from the Gross Fund.

26 5.10 **Return of Gross Fund.** The Settlement Administrator shall have the obligation
27 to return to Uber the entire Gross Fund (excluding the costs incurred by the
28 Settlement Administrator) within seven (7) calendar days in the event that this
Agreement is rendered null and void.

23 6. ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS

24 6.1 At least twenty-one (21) calendar days before the objection deadline, Class
25 Counsel shall petition the Court for an award of attorneys' fees in a sum not to
26 exceed Three Million Dollars (\$3,000,000) and costs not to exceed One Hundred
27 Seventy Thousand Dollars (\$170,000) that shall fully compensate Class Counsel
28 for the attorneys' fees and litigation costs incurred at any time in connection with
the Action, including any applicable multiplier. Should the Court award a lesser

1 amount of fees or costs, the difference between this amount and the amount
2 awarded for fees and costs shall be added in proportionate shares to Funds A and
3 B.

4 6.2 Uber agrees that Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys' fees
5 and costs in the Action. The Parties agree that the full amount of the attorneys'
6 fees and costs awarded in this action shall be paid from the Gross Fund.

7 6.3 The outcome of any proceeding related to Class Counsel's application for
8 attorneys' fees and costs shall not terminate this Agreement or otherwise affect the
9 Court's Final Approval ruling.

10 6.4 Payment of Class Counsel's attorneys' fees and costs approved by the Court shall
11 be made from the Gross Fund within fifteen (15) calendar days after the Effective
12 Date.

13 7. SERVICE AWARDS

14 7.1 At least twenty-one (21) calendar days before the objection deadline, Plaintiffs
15 shall apply to the Court to receive the following Service Awards: Up to Fifty
16 Thousand Dollars (\$50,000) for Plaintiff Roxana del Toro Lopez and up to Thirty
17 Thousand Dollars (\$30,000) for Plaintiff Ana Medina in recognition of their
18 services to the Class and in exchange for signing a general release of all claims in
19 a form acceptable to Uber. Should the Court award a lesser amount of Service
20 Awards, the difference between these amounts and the amounts awarded for
21 Service Awards shall be added in proportionate shares to Funds A and B.

22 7.2 Uber shall not oppose and agrees to pay the Court-approved Service Awards up to
23 the above-listed amounts. The Service Awards and the requirements for obtaining
24 such payments are separate and apart from, and in addition to, the Named
25 Plaintiffs' recovery from the Net Fund.

26 7.3 The outcome of the Court's ruling on the application for Service Awards shall not
27 terminate this Agreement or otherwise affect the Court's Final Approval ruling.

28 7.4 Payment of Service Awards approved by the Court shall be made from the Gross
Fund within fifteen (15) calendar days after the Effective Date.

8. DISTRIBUTION OF INDIVIDUAL MONETARY AWARDS

8.1 **Settlement Checks.** The Settlement Administrator shall mail all individual
monetary awards no later than thirty (30) calendar days after it receives the Gross
Fund Payment set forth in Section 5.1. The face of each check shall clearly state
that it must be cashed within one hundred eighty (180) calendar days.

1 **8.2 Reminder Postcards.** The Settlement Administrator shall, thirty (30) calendar
2 days prior to the check expiration date, send a reminder postcard to those Named
3 Plaintiffs, Collective Action Plaintiffs, and Class Members who have not yet
4 cashed their settlement award checks and shall provide Class Counsel and Defense
5 Counsel with copies of those postcards. The Settlement Administrator shall also
6 attempt to contact those Named Plaintiffs, Collective Action Plaintiffs, and Class
7 Members via telephone (and may also use email if the Settlement Administrator
8 has an email address for the individual).

9 **8.3 Returned Settlement Award Checks.** The Settlement Administrator shall
10 perform an address trace for any undeliverable checks, and re-send the checks if a
11 different address is found, within three (3) business days of receipt of any
12 undeliverable check.

13 **8.4 Uncashed Checks and Unused Funds.** If any Named Plaintiff, Collective Action
14 Plaintiff, or Class Member fails to cash his/her award check within one hundred
15 eighty (180) calendar days, the funds shall be distributed in equal shares to the
16 following organizations approved by the Court (and any appellate court, if
17 applicable) as valid *cy pres* beneficiaries: Girls Who Code, the Mexican
18 American Legal Defense and Educational Fund (“MALDEF”) and the NAACP
19 Legal Defense and Educational Fund. Funds distributed to MALDEF and the
20 NAACP LDF must be used only for efforts to challenge discrimination in
21 employment. If the Court (or any appellate court, if applicable) determines that
22 any *cy pres* recipient is inappropriate, the funds shall be distributed in equal shares
23 to the remaining *cy pres* recipient(s), and if none remains, then those funds should
24 escheat to the state of the Class Member’s residence.

25 **8.5 Final Report by Settlement Administrator.** No later than ten (10) calendar days
26 after the check void date, the Settlement Administrator shall provide Class
27 Counsel and Defendant’s Counsel with a declaration providing a final report on
28 the disbursements of all funds.

8.6 Taxability of Settlement Payments.

8.6.1 For tax purposes:

- (1) Payments to California Class Members for PAGA penalties pursuant to Section 5.3 shall be treated as 1099 non-wage income.
- (2) 50% of payments to Collective Action Plaintiffs and Rule 23 Class Members pursuant to Section 5.4 shall be treated as W-2 wage payments and 50% of such payments shall be treated as 1099 non-wage income as compensatory or liquidated damages/non-wage damages and interest.

(3) Payments to Rule 23 Class Members pursuant to Section 5.5 shall be designated by the Settlement Administrator as 1099 emotional distress damages or other non-wage income, except that any payments for lost compensation from Fund B shall be designated as W-2 wage payments.

8.6.2 Payments treated as W-2 wages pursuant to Sections 5.4 and 5.5 shall be made net of all applicable employment taxes, including, without limitation, federal, state, and local income tax withholding and the employee share of the FICA tax, and shall be reported to the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") and the payee under the payee's name and social security number on an IRS Form W-2. Payments treated as compensatory or liquidated damages/non-wage damages and interest pursuant to Section 5.3 and 5.4 shall be made without withholding and shall be reported to the IRS and the payee, to the extent required by law, under the payee's name and social security number on an IRS Form 1099, as shall penalties for the California Class only. Any Service Awards made pursuant to Section 7.1 shall be made without withholding and reported to the IRS under the payee's name and social security number on an IRS Form 1099.

8.6.3 In the event that it is subsequently determined by a tax authority that any Plaintiff, Collective Action Plaintiff, or Rule 23 Class Member owes any additional taxes with respect to any money distributed under this Agreement, it is expressly agreed that the determination of any tax liability is between the payment recipient and the tax authorities, and that Uber shall not be responsible for the payment of such taxes, including any interest and penalties.

9. FORM OF NOTICE AND CLAIM FORM

9.1 All Collective Action Plaintiffs and Rule 23 Class Members shall receive a Notice substantially in the form evidenced by Exhibit A and a Claim Form substantially in the form evidenced by Exhibit B, each attached hereto. The Notice shall be tailored for each individual and have pre-printed information regarding that individual's name, contact information, and information pertinent to the estimated allocation under Fund A for the Named Plaintiff, Collective Action Plaintiff, and/or Class Member. The Notice and Claim Form shall be enclosed in an envelope substantially in the form evidenced by Exhibit C, attached hereto.

10. ADMINISTRATION OF SETTLEMENT

10.1 Settlement Administrator's Duties.

10.1.1 The Settlement Administrator shall (1) mail the Notice to the Collective Action Plaintiffs and Class Members after performing any address checks

required by Section 10.2.4; (2) respond to questions from Collective Action Plaintiffs and Class Members; (3) distribute individual monetary awards to Collective Action Plaintiffs and Class Members; (4) maintain a toll-free number for communicating with Collective Action Plaintiffs and Class Members; (5) no later than fifteen (15) calendar days after the Effective Date, distribute Service Awards to Named Plaintiffs; (6) no later than fifteen (15) calendar days after the Effective Date, distribute amounts approved by the Court as Attorneys' Fees and Incurred Costs to Class Counsel; (7) no later than fifteen (15) calendar days after the Effective Date, place in escrow all other amounts transmitted by Uber; (8) no later than fifteen (15) calendar days after the Effective Date, forward payment to the LWDA; (9) determine the employer's share of taxes owed and remit them to the taxing authorities, file required state and federal tax returns, and take all other actions required by Sections 5.3 through 5.10; (10) distribute funds to the *cy pres* recipients; and (11) perform any other duties necessary to carry out its responsibilities described in this Agreement.

10.1.2 The Parties agree to cooperate with the Settlement Administrator and assist it in any way possible in administering the terms of this Agreement. The Parties agree to cooperate in the settlement administration process and work with the Settlement Administrator in making all reasonable efforts to control and minimize the costs and expenses incurred in the administration of the Settlement.

10.1.3 At least five (5) business days prior to the Final Approval Hearing, the Settlement Administrator shall provide Class Counsel and Defendant's Counsel with a statement detailing its costs of administration, which Class Counsel shall file with the Court.

10.2 Notice and Claim Form Procedures.

10.2.1 No later than fifteen (15) calendar days after the date of entry of the order granting Preliminary Approval, Uber shall provide to the Settlement Administrator a list of all Collective Action Plaintiffs and Rule 23 Class Members, including full name (maiden and married names, where applicable), last known home address, email (if known), telephone numbers(s), employee identification number, social security number, and number of work weeks worked during each relevant period in each job code including whether those work weeks were full-time versus part-time weeks, in a format reasonably acceptable to the Settlement Administrator ("Settlement Class List"). The Settlement Administrator shall maintain this list in the strictest confidence and shall not disclose it to anyone except Class Counsel, who may receive the employee identification number and number of work weeks worked during each relevant period in each job code,

1 including whether those work weeks were full-time versus part-time weeks,
2 for use only for purposes of administering this Settlement.

3 10.2.2 No later than twenty (20) calendar days after Uber provides the Settlement
4 Class List, the Settlement Administrator shall mail the Notice and Claim
5 Form in substantively the form attached hereto as Exhibits A and B,
6 respectively, and as approved by the Court, to the Collective Action
7 Plaintiffs and Rule 23 Class Members, by United States first class mail,
8 postage prepaid, and will transmit a copy by email to those Collective
9 Action Plaintiffs and Rule 23 Class Members who have provided a personal
10 email address to Uber. The Parties intend to provide actual notice to each
11 Collective Action Plaintiff and Rule 23 Class Member, to the extent
12 practicable.

13 10.2.3 The Settlement Administrator shall also create a secure website to enable
14 Rule 23 Class Members to review the Notice and submit a Claim Form for
15 Fund B online.

16 10.2.4 In order to provide the best notice practicable, the Settlement Administrator
17 shall run the list of Collective Action Plaintiffs and Rule 23 Class Members
18 through the United States Postal Service's National Change of Address
19 database before mailing the Notice.

20 10.2.5 In the event that Notices are returned to the Settlement Administrator by the
21 United States Postal Service because the address of the recipient is no longer
22 valid, *i.e.*, the envelope is marked "Return to Sender," the Settlement
23 Administrator shall perform a standard skip trace up to two (2) times in an
24 effort to attempt to ascertain the current address of the particular individual
25 in question and, if such an address is ascertained, the Settlement
26 Administrator shall re-send the Notice by First Class U.S. Mail to the new
27 address within three (3) business days of receiving the newly ascertained
28 address.

10.2.6 With respect to envelopes marked "Return to Sender," the Settlement
Administrator may also call any identified last-known telephone numbers
(and telephone numbers updated through public and proprietary databases)
of Collective Action Plaintiffs and/or Rule 23 Class Members to obtain
current addresses, and may request from Defendant's Counsel updated
contact information for those currently employed by Uber. Defendant's
Counsel shall provide updated contact information for those currently
employed by Uber in response to requests from the Settlement
Administrator.

10.2.7 Class Members shall have forty-five (45) calendar days from the date of
mailing to submit their Claim Forms for Fund B ("Claims Period"). Rule 23

1 Class Members and Collective Action Plaintiffs are not required to file a
2 Claim Form to receive their shares from Fund A.

3 10.2.8 The Settlement Administrator shall also send out a reminder email and
4 reminder postcard twenty-five (25) calendar days after the initial mailing of
5 the Notice to those who have not submitted a Claim Form for Fund B or
6 opted out of the settlement.

7 10.2.9 During the forty-five (45) calendar-day Notice Period, the Settlement
8 Administrator shall provide the Parties with weekly summaries as to the
9 status of Notice mailings, including the number of Notices that were re-
10 mailed, the number of successful and unsuccessful mailings, the number of
11 Claim Forms submitted, and the number of individuals who have opted out
12 and/or objected.

13 10.2.10 The Settlement Administrator shall provide to the Parties, at least ten (10)
14 calendar days prior to the close of the Notice Period, a list of persons
15 potentially eligible to be Collective Action Plaintiffs and Class Members to
16 whom notices were returned as undeliverable and for whom efforts to obtain
17 an alternative address failed.

18 10.2.11 Class Counsel shall provide the Court, at least five (5) calendar days prior
19 to the Final Approval Hearing, a declaration by the Settlement
20 Administrator of due diligence and proof of mailing with regard to the
21 mailing of the Notice.

22 **10.3 Opt-Outs.**

23 10.3.1 Individuals in the Rule 23 Class may request exclusion from the monetary
24 relief provisions of the Settlement by "opting out" as follows:

- 25 (1) Class Members who do not submit a Claim Form for Fund B must
26 opt out of the Settlement no later than forty-five (45) calendar days
27 after Notice is first mailed.
- 28 (2) Class Members who submit a Claim Form for Fund B must opt out of
the Settlement no later than twenty-one (21) calendar days after the
Fund B Calculation Notice is first mailed by the Settlement
Administrator.

10.3.2 Any individual who chooses to opt out pursuant to Section 10.3.1(1) or (2)
must mail a written, signed statement to the Settlement Administrator saying
that s/he wishes to be excluded from the *del Toro Lopez v. Uber*
Technologies, Inc. Settlement and that s/he understands that s/he will not
receive money from it ("Opt-Out Statement"). The Opt-Out Statement must
contain the (1) name, (2) address, (3) telephone number, and (4) signature of

the individual to be valid. To be effective, such Opt-Out Statement must be sent via mail and postmarked by a date certain to be specified on the Notice. The postmark date of the mailing envelope shall be the exclusive means used to determine whether a request for exclusion (opt-out) has been timely submitted. The Opt-Out Statement must be personally signed in ink by the Rule 23 Class Member who seeks to opt out. No request to opt out may be made on behalf of a group of Class Members.

10.3.3 The Settlement Administrator shall stamp on the original of any Opt-Out Statement that it receives the date it was received, and shall serve copies of each Opt-Out Statement on Class Counsel and Defendant's Counsel by email no later than two (2) business days after receipt thereof. The Settlement Administrator shall, within two (2) business days after the end of each Opt-Out Period, send a final list of all Opt-Out Statements to Class Counsel and Defendant's Counsel by email. The Settlement Administrator shall retain the stamped originals of all Opt-Out Statements and originals of all envelopes accompanying Opt-Out Statements in its files until such time as the Settlement Administrator is relieved of its duties and responsibilities under this Agreement.

10.3.4 The share of any Opt-Out, as calculated pursuant to Section 5.4, shall remain in Fund A for distribution to those who did not timely opt out of the Settlement.

10.4 **Objections and Written Comments.**

10.4.1 Rule 23 Class Members and Collective Action Plaintiffs who wish to present objections to or written comments about the Settlement at the Fairness Hearing must first do so in a written, signed statement. To be considered, such objections must be mailed to the Class Action Clerk, United States District Court for the Northern District of California, 1301 Clay Street, Oakland, CA 94612, or filed in person at any location of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California no later than twenty-one (21) days after the Fund B Calculation Notice is first mailed by the Settlement Administrator.

10.4.2 The postmark date or filing date of the objection shall be the exclusive means for determining that an objection is timely. The statement must contain the name, address, telephone number, and signature of the Class Member or Collective Action Plaintiff and must clearly identify the case name and number (*del Toro Lopez v. Uber Technologies, Inc.*, Case Number 17 Civ. 36255) to be valid. Class Members and Collective Action Plaintiffs who fail to make objections in the manner and by the deadline specified in Section 10.4.1 shall be deemed to have waived any objections and shall be

1 foreclosed from making any objection (whether by appeal or otherwise) to
2 the Agreement.

3 10.4.3 A Class Member or Collective Action Plaintiff who objects or comments on
4 the Settlement may also appear at the Final Approval Hearing, either in
5 person or through his/her own attorney, but first must file with the Class
6 Action Clerk at the address listed in Section 10.4.1, a "Notice of Intention to
7 Appear at the Fairness Hearing in *del Toro Lopez v. Uber Technologies, Inc.*,
8 Case Number 15 Civ. 36255" ("Notice of Intention to Appear") no later than
9 the deadline for the objection set forth in Section 10.4.1. This Notice must
10 include the objector's name, address, telephone number, and signature. The
11 Notice of Intention to Appear must include copies of any papers, exhibits, or
12 other evidence that the objecting Class Member or Collective Action
13 Plaintiff (or his/her counsel) shall present to the Court in connection with
14 the Fairness Hearing. Any Class Member or Collective Action Plaintiff who
15 does not provide a Notice of Intention to Appear in complete accordance
16 with the deadline and other specifications set forth in the Notice, and who
17 has not filed an Objection in complete accordance with the deadlines and
18 other specifications set forth in this paragraph and the Notice, shall be
19 barred from speaking or otherwise presenting any views at any Fairness
20 Hearing unless the Court, in the exercise of its discretion, excuses
21 compliance with this requirement upon a showing of good cause.

22 10.4.4 An objector may withdraw his/her objections at any time.

23 10.4.5 Any lawyer representing a Class Member or Collective Action Plaintiff for
24 the purpose of making objections must also file a Notice of Appearance with
25 the Court by the deadline for the objection set forth in Section 10.4.1.

26 10.4.6 Any individual who has requested exclusion by submitting a valid Opt-Out
27 Statement may not submit objections to the Settlement.

28 10.4.7 Plaintiffs and/or Uber may file with the Court written responses to any
objections at least seven (7) calendar days before the Fairness Hearing.

10.4.8 **Waiver of Right to Appeal.** Provided that the judgment against Uber is
consistent with the terms and conditions of this Agreement, then Named
Plaintiffs, Collective Action Plaintiffs, and Class Members who did not
timely submit an objection to the Settlement and their counsel, as well as
Uber and its counsel, hereby waive any and all rights to appeal from the
judgment against Uber, including all rights to any post-judgment proceeding
and appellate proceeding, such as a motion to vacate judgment, a motion for
new trial, a motion under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60, and any
extraordinary writ, and the judgment therefore shall become final and non-
appealable at the time it is entered. The waiver does not include any waiver

of the right to oppose any appeal, appellate proceedings, or post-judgment proceedings. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Named Plaintiffs and their counsel do not waive their right to appeal any order regarding attorneys' fees or costs.

10.5 No Solicitation of Objection or Request to Opt Out. The Parties agree that the decision to opt out should be left to the individual Class Members. If contacted by a Class Member, Class Counsel may provide any advice or assistance to any Class Member who requests them to do so. If Defendant's Counsel is contacted by Class Members regarding the Settlement, counsel shall refer the call or communication to Class Counsel. In addition, at no time shall Plaintiffs, Uber, or their respective counsel seek to solicit or otherwise encourage Class Members or any other persons to submit written objections to the settlement or encourage Class Members to appeal the Court's final approval order and entry of judgment, or to opt out of the Settlement.

11. PRELIMINARY AND FINAL APPROVAL

11.1 Preliminary Approval by the Court. Within twenty-eight (28) calendar days of the execution of this Agreement, Plaintiffs shall submit to the Court a Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Settlement ("Preliminary Approval Motion"). The Preliminary Approval Motion shall seek the setting of dates for opt-outs, objections, and a Fairness Hearing and shall present the [Proposed] Order Granting Preliminary Approval attached hereto as Exhibit D.

11.2 Final Order and Judgment from the Court. Plaintiffs shall seek to obtain from the Court an Order Granting Final Approval. The motion shall, among other things, seek: (a) final certification of the Rule 23 Class for purposes of settlement; (b) final approval of settlement of the Collective Action Plaintiffs' EPA claims; (c) final approval of settlement of the Rule 23 Class Members' claims as fair, adequate, reasonable, and binding on all Class Members who have not opted out; and (d) an entry of judgment in accordance with this Agreement.

11.3 Fairness Hearing. The Fairness Hearing shall be held at the Court's convenience, but not earlier than ninety (90) calendar days after CAFA notices are served.

12. RELEASE

12.1 Rule 23 Class Members, including Named Plaintiffs.

Upon the Effective Date, and except as to such rights or claims as may be created by this Agreement, each member of the Rule 23 Class, who does not timely opt out pursuant to this Agreement, on his/her behalf and on behalf of his/her respective current, former and future heirs, spouses, executors, administrators,

1 agents, and attorneys, fully releases and discharges all Released Parties from all
2 claims asserted in the Complaint under applicable state, local, and federal law or
3 that are based on the same facts and circumstances as the claims brought in the
Complaint.

4 The rights and claims released (“Released Claims”) include: (i) claims for
5 unequal pay, and (ii) claims for disparate treatment and pattern and practice
6 discrimination based on gender, race and national origin with respect to all forms
7 of compensation (including but not limited to salary, bonus, and stock or equity
8 awards), raises, job assignments, job code placement, demotions, denial of
9 promotion, delayed promotion, performance ratings, ratings used for purposes of
10 compensation rewards (including bonus and stock awards), and other terms and
11 conditions of employment; and (iii) claims for disparate impact discrimination
12 based on gender, race and national origin with respect to all forms of
13 compensation (including but not limited to salary, bonus, and stock or equity
awards), raises, job assignments, job code placement, demotions, denial of
promotion, delayed promotion, performance ratings, ratings used for purposes of
compensation rewards (including bonus and stock awards), and other terms and
conditions of employment; and (iv) claims for gender, race and national origin
harassment.

14 The Released Claims arise under the following laws and their implementing
15 regulations and include all forms of damages (including but not limited to
16 economic, emotional distress, punitive and exemplary damages, and damages to
17 reputation): (i) Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §§2000e, *et*
18 *seq.*, (ii) Section 1981 of the Civil Rights Act; (iii) for those who work or worked
19 in California: the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, Cal. Gov’t Code
20 §12940, *et seq.*, the California Equal Pay Act, Cal. Lab. Code §1197.5, the
21 California Fair Pay Act, Cal. Lab. Code §§1197.5 and 1194.5 (on and after
22 January 1, 2016), the California’s Business & Professions Code §17200, *et seq.*,
23 the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act (PAGA), Cal. Lab. Code §§2698-
24 2699, and Cal. Lab. Code §§201, 202, 203, 204, and 558.1; and (iv) for those who
25 work or worked in the District of Columbia or in states outside of California, the
26 state and local laws of similar import in their respective jurisdictions, including,
27 but not limited to, Arizona Rev. Stat. Ann. §23-341, Colorado Rev. Stat. Ann. §8-
28 5-101, *et seq.*; Illinois Equal Pay Act of 2003, 820 Ill. Comp. Stat. 112/1, *et seq.*;
Kentucky Equal Pay Law, Ky. Rev. Stat. Ann. §337.423; Massachusetts Gen.
Laws Ann. 149 §105A; Michigan Comp. Laws Ann. §408.423 and §750.556;
Missouri. Rev. Stat. §290.400; New Jersey Equal Pay Act, N.J. Rev. Stat. §34:11-
56.1, *et seq.*; Equal Pay Provision of the New York State Labor Law, N.Y. Labor
Law §194; Ohio Minimum Fair Wage Standards Act, Ohio Rev. Code Ann.
§4111.17(A); Oregon Equal Pay Statute, Or. Rev. Stat. §652.220; Pennsylvania
Equal Pay Law, 43 Pa. Cons. Stat. §336.3; and Washington Rev. Code
§49.12.175. The Released Claims are limited to the facts and circumstances pled

1 in the Complaint and do not include claims for, among other things, disability
2 discrimination, retaliation, or wrongful discharge.

3 Only as to the claims released herein, each member of the Rule 23 Class waives
4 all rights and benefits afforded by Section 1542 of the Civil Code of the State of
5 California, and does so understanding the significance of that waiver. Section
6 1542 provides:

7 A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does
8 not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of
9 executing the release, which if known by him or her must have
10 materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor.

11 Those Rule 23 Class Members who did not opt into the EPA collective action by
12 cashing their settlement check do not release their potential federal EPA claims,
13 29 U.S.C. §206(d).

14 **12.2 Collective Action Plaintiffs, including Named Plaintiffs.** Upon the Effective
15 Date, and except as to such rights or claims as may be created by this Agreement,
16 each Collective Action Plaintiff, on behalf of her respective current, former and
17 future heirs, spouses, executors, administrators, agents, and attorneys, fully
18 releases and discharges all Released Parties from all gender-based discrimination
19 claims involving alleged unequal, disparate, or unfair compensation under the
20 Equal Pay Act, 29 U.S.C. §206(d), that were brought in the Complaint or that are
21 based on the same facts and circumstances as the claims brought in the Complaint.
22 Collective Action Plaintiffs who cash their settlement checks shall be deemed to
23 have accepted this Release. Only as to the claims released herein, each Collective
24 Action Plaintiff waives all rights and benefits afforded by Section 1542 of the
25 Civil Code of the State of California (quoted in Section 12.1), and does so
26 understanding the significance of that waiver.

27 **12.3 Events After Final Approval.** Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to
28 bar any claims of the Named Plaintiffs, Collective Action Plaintiffs, or the Rule 23
Class Members based on or arising out of events occurring after the date of the
Order Granting Final Approval by the Court of the Agreement.

23 **13. UBER'S RIGHT TO WITHDRAW OR MODIFY AGREEMENT**

24 **13.1** If five percent (5%) or more of the Class Members validly elect not to participate
25 in the Settlement, Uber shall have the absolute right, in its sole discretion and
26 notwithstanding any other provisions of this Agreement, but subject to all the
27 provisions and time limits of this Section, either (i) to withdraw in writing from
28 and rescind this Agreement in which case all actions taken in its furtherance shall
be null and void for all purposes and may not be used or introduced in further
litigation except to determine whether Uber is entitled to withdraw from the

Agreement and has validly done so, or (ii) to modify this Agreement through further negotiations with Class Counsel. Uber must exercise this right within ten (10) calendar days after the Settlement Administrator sends the final list of all Opt-Out Statements to Class Counsel and Defendant's Counsel by email pursuant to Section 10.3.3. If five percent (5%) or more of the Class Members opt out of the monetary relief provisions of the Settlement, and Uber exercises its right to void the Settlement, Uber shall pay the Settlement Administrator's reasonable fees and expenses incurred as of the date that Uber exercises its right to void the Settlement.

13.2 If any of the Named Plaintiffs or Class Representatives submit a timely and complete request for exclusion, Uber shall have the absolute right, in its sole discretion and notwithstanding any other provisions of this Agreement, but subject to all the provisions and time limits of this Section, to withdraw in writing from this Agreement, or to modify this Agreement through further negotiations with Class Counsel. If Uber does withdraw in conformity with the provisions and time limits of this Section, the Agreement will be null and void for all purposes and may not be used or introduced in further litigation except to determine whether Uber is entitled to withdraw from the Agreement and has validly done so. Uber shall have ten (10) calendar days after the expiration of all Class Members' deadlines pursuant to the provisions described in the Notice of Class Action Settlement to withdraw from (or modify through negotiation) this Agreement on the basis that a Named Plaintiff or Class Representative has submitted a timely and complete request for exclusion.

14. INTERPRETATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF AGREEMENT

14.1 **Entire Agreement.** This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties with regard to the subject matter contained herein, and all prior and contemporaneous negotiations and understandings between the Parties shall be deemed merged into this Agreement.

14.2 **Binding Effect.** Upon execution of this Agreement by the Parties and their counsel, this Agreement shall be binding upon the Parties unless the Court fails to approve the Agreement as set forth herein.

14.3 **Notices to Counsel.** All notices to counsel required or desired to be given under this Agreement shall be in writing and by overnight mail and email to lead counsel for the respective Parties. Specifically, such notices shall be mailed to Jahan C. Sagafi of Outten & Golden LLP and Nancy L. Abell of Paul Hastings LLP for Uber, their respective addresses set forth below (or to such other address as any such party of counsel may designate in a notice):

Jahan C. Sagafi
OUTTEN & GOLDEN LLP

One Embarcadero Center, 38th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
jsagafi@outtengolden.com

Nancy L. Abell
PAUL HASTINGS LLP
515 South Flower Street, 25th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
nancyabell@paulhastings.com

- 14.4 **Arm's-Length Transaction; Materiality of Terms.** The Parties have negotiated all the terms and conditions of this Agreement at arm's length. All terms and conditions of this Agreement in the exact form set forth in this Agreement are material to this Agreement and have been relied upon by the Parties in entering into this Agreement.
- 14.5 **Construction.** The determination of the terms and conditions of this Agreement has been by mutual agreement of the Parties. Each Party participated jointly in the drafting of this Agreement, and therefore the terms and conditions of this Agreement are not intended to be, and shall not be, construed against any Party by virtue of draftsmanship.
- 14.6 **Severability.** Following the Effective Date, if any provision of this Agreement is held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be void, voidable, unlawful, or unenforceable, the remaining portions of this Agreement shall remain in full force and effect, subject to the limitations set forth above.
- 14.7 **Governing Law.** This Agreement shall in all respects be interpreted, enforced, and governed by and under the laws of the State of California, without regard to its choice of law principles, except to the extent that the law of the United States governs any matter set forth herein, in which case such federal law shall govern.
- 14.8 **Continuing Jurisdiction.** The Court shall retain jurisdiction over the interpretation and implementation of this Agreement as well as any and all matters arising out of, or related to, the interpretation or implementation of this Agreement and of the settlement contemplated thereby for three (3) years following the Effective Date.
- 14.9 **Waivers, etc. to Be in Writing.** No waiver, modification, or amendment of the terms of this Agreement, whether purportedly made before or after the Court's approval of this Agreement, shall be valid or binding unless in writing, signed by, or on behalf of all Parties and then only to the extent set forth in such written waiver, modification, or amendment, subject to any required Court approval. Any failure by any Party to insist upon the strict performance by the other Party of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be deemed a waiver of future

1 performance of the same provisions or of any of the other provisions of this
2 Agreement, and such Party, notwithstanding such failure, shall have the right
3 thereafter to insist upon the specific performance of any and all of the provisions
4 of this Agreement.

5 14.10 **Counterparts.** The Parties may execute this Agreement in counterparts, and
6 execution in counterparts shall have the same force and effect as if Plaintiffs and
7 Uber had signed the same instrument. The failure of one or more Plaintiffs to sign
8 this Agreement does not affect its enforceability, except as otherwise set forth
9 herein.

10 14.11 **Facsimile/Electronic Signatures.** Any Party may execute this Agreement by
11 causing her or its counsel to sign on the designated signature block below and
12 transmitting that signature page via facsimile or email to counsel for the other
13 Party. Any signature made and transmitted by facsimile or email for the purpose
14 of executing this Agreement shall be deemed an original signature for purposes of
15 this Agreement and shall be binding upon the Party whose counsel transmits the
16 signature page by facsimile or email.

17 **15. DUTIES OF THE PARTIES FOLLOWING COURT APPROVAL**

18 15.1 In connection with the Final Approval by the Court of this Agreement, Class
19 Counsel, and Counsel for Defendant shall submit a proposed final order and
20 judgment:

21 (a) Granting Final Approval to the Agreement, adjudging the terms thereof to be
22 fair, reasonable, and adequate, and directing consummation of its terms and
23 provisions;

24 (b) Granting final certification of the Rule 23 Settlement Class;

25 (c) Granting final certification for settlement purposes of the conditionally
26 certified EPA Collective Action;

27 (d) Dismissing the Civil Action with prejudice and permanently barring all
28 members of the Settlement Class including the Class Representatives from
prosecuting against any Released Parties any of the Released Claims; and

(e) All materials, including data, provided by the Parties, their counsel and/or
their experts, to the other Party, its counsel and/or its experts, shall be returned to
the other Party's counsel or destroyed, within one-hundred eighty (180) calendar
days after the Effective Date, with the exception that the Parties may retain copies
of their and their own experts' work product; copies of all filed documents
(whether or not filed under seal or submitted to the court without being officially
filed); and any materials necessary to oversee compliance with this Agreement.

1 DATED: April 13, 2018

By: 

JAHAN C. SAGAFI

OUTTEN & GOLDEN LLP

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Proposed Class and
Collective Members*

2
3
4
5 DATED: April 13, 2018

By: 

ROXANA DEL TORO LOPEZ

Named Plaintiff and Class Representative

6
7
8 DATED: April 13, 2018

By: 

ANA MEDINA

Named Plaintiff and Class Representative

9
10
11
12 DATED: April __, 2018

By: _____

NANCY L. ABELL

PAUL HASTINGS LLP

*Attorneys for Defendant
Uber Technologies, Inc.*

13
14
15
16
17 DATED: April __, 2018

By: _____

TONY WEST

*Senior Vice President, Chief Legal Officer and
Corporate Secretary
Uber Technologies, Inc., Defendant*

1 DATED: April __, 2018

By: _____
JAHAN C. SAGAFI
OUTTEN & GOLDEN LLP
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Proposed Class and
Collective Members*

2
3
4
5 DATED: April __, 2018

By: _____
ROXANA DEL TORO LOPEZ
Named Plaintiff and Class Representative

6
7
8 DATED: April __, 2018

By: _____
ANA MEDINA
Named Plaintiff and Class Representative

9
10
11 DATED: April 14, 2018

12 By: Nancy L. Abell
13 NANCY L. ABELL
14 PAUL HASTINGS LLP
15 *Attorneys for Defendant*
16 *Uber Technologies, Inc.*

17 DATED: April 14, 2018

18 Tony West
19 TONY WEST
20 *Senior Vice President, Chief Legal Officer and*
21 *Corporate Secretary*
22 *Uber Technologies, Inc., Defendant*

Exhibit A

Revised April 13, 2018

del Toro Lopez v. Uber Technologies, Inc., Case No. 17-cv-06255-YGR
 United States District Court for the Northern District of California

If you worked at Uber as a Software Engineer, you could get a payment from this class and collective action settlement.

A federal court authorized this notice. This is not a solicitation from a lawyer.

- The settlement provides \$10,000,000 to compensate Class and Collective Members. This amount will be used for both Fund A (automatically paid out to all Class and Collective Members) and Fund B (paid out only to Class Members who submit a claim form and qualify for an award from Fund B).
- The Settlement affects the rights of all women (regardless of race and national origin), and all Latino/Latina/Hispanic, African American/Black, Native American, Alaskan Native, and/or multiracial individuals (who are in part one of the foregoing races) who work or worked for Uber in the United States as a Software Engineer 1 or 2, Senior Software Engineer 1 or 2, or Staff Software Engineer (“Covered Software Engineering Positions”) at any time between July 31, 2013 and [insert Preliminary Approval date].
 - **If you are not a woman or a Latino/Latina/Hispanic, African American/Black, Native American, Alaskan Native, and/or multiracial individual (who is in part one of the foregoing races), you are required to notify *del Toro Lopez v. Uber Technologies, Inc.* Settlement Administrator, XXXX [including email address and website] promptly following receipt of this Notice.**
- Your legal rights are affected whether you act or don’t act. Read this notice carefully.

Your legal rights and options in this Settlement:

Do nothing	If you do nothing, you will receive a check for an amount estimated to be approximately \$____, as your share of “Fund A.” (See § 6 below).
Submit a claim form	You also have the option to submit a Claim Form to receive a share of the money in “Fund B” (in addition to your money from Fund A). The Claim Form allows you to describe incidents of harassment, hostile work environment and discrimination based on your gender, race or national origin, while you were employed by Uber on or after July 31, 2013, as well as their impact on you. (See § 6 below).

Revised April 13, 2018

Exclude yourself	You may exclude yourself or “opt out” if you do not wish to participate in the settlement. If you choose this option, you get no payment and will not release any claims against Uber. (See §§ 11-12 below).
Comment (including Object)	Write to the Court about what you think about the settlement. (See §§ 16-17 below).
Go to the hearing	If you would like, you may ask to speak in Court about the fairness of the settlement. You do not need to do this to receive a payment. (See §§ 18-20 below).

- These rights and options—**and the deadlines to exercise them**—are explained in this notice.
- The Court still has to decide whether to finally approve the settlement. Payments will be made only if the Court approves the settlement and after appeals, if any, are resolved. Please be patient.
- This is not a lawsuit against you. Your participation in this lawsuit and acceptance of money from the settlement will not affect your employment status in any way.

Revised April 13, 2018

What This Notice Contains

BASIC INFORMATION	4
1. Why did I get this notice package?	4
2. What is this lawsuit about?	4
3. Why is this a class and collective action?	4
4. Why is there a settlement?	5
WHO IS IN THE SETTLEMENT	5
5. How do I know if I am part of the settlement?	5
THE SETTLEMENT BENEFITS—WHAT YOU GET	6
6. What does the settlement provide?	6
7. What can I get from the settlement?	9
HOW YOU GET A PAYMENT	9
8. How can I get a payment?	9
9. When would I get my payment?	10
10. What am I giving up to get a payment?	10
EXCLUDING YOURSELF FROM THE SETTLEMENT	12
11. How do I get out of the settlement?	12
12. If I exclude myself, can I get money from this settlement?	13
YOUR PRIVACY	13
13. Will my manager know whether or how I responded to this Notice?	13
THE LAWYERS REPRESENTING YOU	13
14. Do I have a lawyer in this case?	13
15. How will Class Counsel and the Class Representatives be paid?	14
COMMENTING ON (INCLUDING OBJECTING TO) THE SETTLEMENT	14
16. How do I tell the Court that I like or don't like the settlement?	14
17. What's the difference between objecting and excluding?	15
THE COURT'S FAIRNESS HEARING	15
18. When and where will the Court decide whether to approve the settlement?	15
19. Do I have to come to the hearing?	15
20. May I speak at the hearing?	15
IF YOU DO NOTHING	16
21. What happens if I do nothing at all?	16
GETTING MORE INFORMATION	16
22. Are there more details about the settlement?	16

Basic Information

1. Why did I get this notice package?

The purpose of this Notice is to let you know that there is a class and collective action lawsuit pending in the United States District Court, Northern District of California, entitled *del Toro Lopez v. Uber Technologies, Inc.*, Case No. 17-cv-06255-YGR (the “Lawsuit”). You have received this Notice because Uber’s records show that you are a woman (of any race or national origin) or a Latino/Latina/Hispanic, African American/Black, Native American, Alaskan Native, and/or multiracial individual (who is in part one of the foregoing races) who work or worked for Uber in a Covered Software Engineering Position.

The Court authorized that you be sent this notice because you have a right to know about a proposed settlement of the Lawsuit, and about your options, before the Court decides whether to grant final approval of the settlement. If the Court approves it and after any objections and appeals are resolved, a third-party administrator appointed by the Court will make the payments that the settlement allows. You will be informed of the progress of the settlement.

This package explains the lawsuit, the settlement, your legal rights, what benefits are available, who is eligible for them, and how to get them. If you wish to receive money from, comment upon (including object to), or exclude yourself from the settlement, you must do so following the procedures described below.

2. What is this lawsuit about?

In the lawsuit, Plaintiffs claimed that Uber’s policies and practices regarding compensation and promotion violated federal and California state laws by unlawfully discriminating against women and Latino/Latina/Hispanic, African American/Black, Native American, Alaskan Native, and/or multiracial individuals (who are in part one of the foregoing races) who work or worked for Uber in a Covered Software Engineering Position, and that there was harassment or a hostile work environment for those employees. The lawsuit asked the Court to require Uber to provide damages, penalties, and other compensation to those employees (or former employees). The lawsuit also sought to compel Uber to change its policies and procedures so that such conduct does not happen in the future.

Uber denies that it did anything wrong and contends that, among other things, it has complied at all times with applicable federal and California law.

3. Why is this a class and collective action?

In a class or collective action, one or more people, called Representatives (in this case, Roxana del Toro Lopez and Ana Medina) sue on behalf of people who have similar claims.

In a class action, all people with similar claims are Class Members. All women (of any race or national origin) and all Latino/Latina/Hispanic, African American/Black, Native American, Alaskan Native, and/or multiracial individuals (who are in part one of the foregoing races) who worked in a Covered Software Engineering Position at any time between July 31, 2013 and the date of preliminary approval are members of the Class. Class members do not need to do anything to be part of the class action. Once the court decides that a case can proceed as a class action (as the Court here has done), all Class Members are included in the Class by default,

Revised April 13, 2018

except for those who exclude themselves (excluding is described in §§ 11-12, below). In a class action, one court then resolves the issues for all Class Members together in one legal proceeding.

Collective actions are very similar to class actions. Some cases, like this one, have a class action component and a collective action component. Here, the federal Equal Pay Act claim is brought as a collective action, on behalf of all women who affirmatively opt in (*i.e.*, state in writing their interest in joining). Everyone who opts in is a Collective Member. Here, all women who worked in a Covered Software Engineering Position at any time between July 31, 2014 and the date of preliminary approval have the option to opt in. Unlike a class action, no one is included by default; opting in is required for a person to be included. Similar to a class action, one court resolves the issues for all Collective Members together in one legal proceeding. Here, you have the option to opt into the Collective by depositing your settlement check (which requires signing the back of the check). You do not need to do anything else to opt in.

The Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers, United States District Judge, is presiding over this class and collective action.

4. Why is there a settlement?

The Court did not decide in favor of either party. Instead, both sides agreed to a settlement, which, if approved, brings the litigation to an end. That way, Plaintiffs and Uber avoid the cost, delay, and uncertainty of moving forward in litigation to trial and possible appeals, and the Class and Collective Members will get compensation. The Representatives and the attorneys for both sides think that the settlement is fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests of the members of the class and all parties.

Who is in the Settlement

To see if you are eligible to get money from this settlement, you first have to determine if you are a Settlement Class and/or Collective Member.

5. How do I know if I am part of the settlement?

Judge Gonzalez Rogers determined that everyone who fits the following description is a Settlement Class Member: any woman (of any race or national origin) and any Latino/Latina/Hispanic, African American/Black, Native American, Alaskan Native, and/or multiracial individual (who is in part one of the foregoing races) who worked in a Covered Software Engineering Position and who was employed by Uber in the United States at any time between July 31, 2013 and [insert Preliminary Approval date]. Judge Gonzalez Rogers also determined that everyone who fits the following description is Settlement Collective Member: any woman of any race or national origin who worked in a Covered Software Engineering Position and who was employed by Uber in the United States at any time between July 31, 2014 and [insert Preliminary Approval date].

If you are still not sure whether you are included, you can ask for free help. You can call the Claims Administrator at [insert Claims Administrator telephone number].

Revised April 13, 2018

The Settlement Benefits—What You Get

6. What does the settlement provide?

Total amount. Uber has agreed to pay \$10,000,000 to cover the claims of Class and Collective Members, and for a three-year period to implement, continue and enhance substantial policy initiatives moving forward. Each Class and/or Collective Member who follows the proper procedure to receive a payment will receive a share of the \$10,000,000 amount, as described below.

Uncashed checks. Uncashed checks, if any, will be distributed in equal shares to all of the following organizations approved by the Court (and any appellate court, if applicable) as valid *cy pres* beneficiaries: Girls Who Code, the Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund (“MALDEF”) and the NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund (“LDF”). Funds distributed to MALDEF and the NAACP LDF will be used only for efforts to challenge discrimination in employment. If the Court (or any appellate court, if applicable) determines that any *cy pres* recipient is inappropriate, the funds shall be distributed in equal shares to the remaining *cy pres* recipient(s), and if none remains, then those funds will escheat to the state of the Class Member’s residence.

Monetary relief. The monetary relief provided by the settlement is divided into two funds: Fund A and Fund B.

Monetary relief – Fund A. Fund A will be paid out to all Class and Collective Members automatically. You do not need to do anything (such as submit a Claim Form) to receive money from Fund A. **Your estimated share of the Fund A portion of the Settlement is \$XX.** Each Class and Collective Member’s share of Fund A depends on (a) job title(s), (b) weeks worked in each job title, (c) whether you were employed full-time or part-time, (d) whether you were employed in California or elsewhere in the United States, and (e) whether you have previously signed a release of any or all of the claims covered by this Settlement. Details regarding the multipliers are available in § 5.4 of the Settlement Agreement, which is available at [\[website\]](#). Fund A covers lost compensation and damages resulting from discrimination and unequal pay, as well as damages for emotional distress from discrimination and unequal pay that did not require medical or psychological treatment.

Monetary relief – Underlying data. Your payment will be calculated according to the information listed below, which was determined using Uber’s data. If you believe that any of the below information is incorrect, please contact the Settlement Administrator at [\[insert Settlement Administrator contact information\]](#) by [\[opt-out deadline\]](#).

Dates	Weeks / Pay periods	Job Title	State	Status	Release?

In the “Release?” column, “Yes” means you released your claims for that time period pursuant to an earlier individual settlement, and consequently get partial or no credit for those weeks. “No” means you have not released those claims and consequently get full credit for those weeks.

Revised April 13, 2018

Monetary relief – Fund B. Fund B will be paid out only to Class Members who submit a valid Claim Form demonstrating that they experienced and seek an award for (a) gender, race or national origin harassment or hostile work environment, regardless of whether or not they sought medical or psychological treatment, and/or (b) gender, race or national origin discrimination in compensation, raises, job assignments, job code placement, evaluations, ratings, promotions, demotions, or other terms and conditions of employment that caused them to seek medical or psychological treatment, in either case while employed by Uber on or after July 31, 2013. (Do not include emotional distress damages such as anxiety and depression based on discriminatory personnel decisions or based on unequal pay because Fund A compensates for that distress.)

Monetary relief – Fund B – The Claim Form. This Claim Form is optional; if you do not submit it, you will not receive any money from Fund B. Submitting the Claim Form does not guarantee that you will get money from Fund B. The Settlement Administrator will evaluate the facts you include in your Claim Form based on objective criteria, with assistance from lawyers representing you (*i.e.*, Class Counsel) and Uber's outside lawyers (*i.e.*, Paul Hastings LLP), to determine how much money from Fund B you should receive, if any.

The Fund B payments will be capped at (a) \$1,900,000 in aggregate for all Class Members who submit Claim Forms (out of the total of approximately 482 Class members who have the option of filing Claim Forms) and (b) \$100,000 per Class Member, absent special circumstances. If 100 Class Members submit Claim Forms, the average value of each Claim Form (including any valued at \$0 and any valued at \$100,000) will be \$19,000. If 15 Class Members submit Claim Forms, then some of the \$1,900,000 in Fund B will remain unawarded, and that money remaining in Fund B will be reallocated to Fund A. Submitting a Claim Form will not affect the money you receive from Fund A; in other words, Class Members who receive money from Fund B will also receive their regular share from Fund A.

Claim Forms will be scored based on the following factors:

- **The nature of the incident(s).** What happened? Was there differential treatment of someone because of their gender, race, or national origin? Was the act directed at the Class Member, another Class Member, or someone else? How intense was it (*e.g.*, yelling, touching, use of force, use of deception, offensiveness, etc.)? What was the perpetrator's role at Uber (*e.g.*, peer, subordinate, manager, executive)? Where did it occur (*e.g.*, at the office, off-site, etc.)? Did others hear/observe the incident(s)?
- **The number and frequency of incidents.** How many incidents were there? Was there a sustained series of incidents over time? Over what time period did the incidents occur?
- **The impact of the incident(s) on the Class Member.** What impact did the incident(s) have? Did they affect the Class Member's work assignments, job opportunities, or length of employment at Uber? Did they result in physical, emotional, psychological, medical, monetary, or other harm? Did the Class Member get medical treatment, and if so what kind?

Revised April 13, 2018

- **Complaints.** Did the Class Member complain to someone at Uber (*e.g.*, HR, a manager, etc.) or someone else (*e.g.*, a government agency like the EEOC or state equivalent)? How detailed was the Class Member's complaint?
- **Details and evidence.** Is the Class Member describing the incident in detail or vaguely? Is supporting documentation provided where appropriate?

The precise manner in which the Claim Forms will be scored will be developed by the Settlement Administrator in light of its experience implementing discrimination class action settlements, in conjunction with Class Counsel and Uber's outside lawyers, after the Claim Forms are received.

Before the Final Approval Hearing, the parties will update the Court as to the Claim Form process, including Claim Forms received and the development of the scoring system, and provide additional information to the Court as requested so that it can make an informed decision as to Final Approval.

Policy initiatives at Uber going forward. In addition to the monetary relief, for a three-year period Uber has agreed to implement or continue and enhance several policy initiatives, including the following:

- **Monitoring.** Uber will continue to monitor its progress towards its diversity objectives (including but not limited to those in the Covington Recommendations¹) and will report its progress at least quarterly to its executive leadership team and members of its Board of Directors. Highlights of these reports will be shared with employees quarterly. In addition, Uber will publish its diversity representation to employees at least twice annually.
- **Training.** Uber will require all new hires and current employees to complete diversity and inclusion training.
- **Professional validation of HR practices.** Uber will retain an expert in industrial organizational psychology ("Independent Consultant") to consult with Uber in reviewing and modifying (i) minimum standards for job applicants, (ii) preferred qualifications for job applicants, (iii) core selection inquiries, (iv) leveling guides, (v) standards for setting starting compensation, (vi) performance criteria, and (vii) promotion processes for Software Engineers 1 and 2, Senior Software Engineers 1 and 2, and Staff Software Engineers job titles.
- **Evaluations.** Uber will review and modify as appropriate the calibration, performance review, promotion, and bonus process by, among other things, applying the assessment forms and instructions created by the Independent Consultant, as well as ensuring that calibration group leaders are appropriately trained and that all managers who participate have completed on-the-job training on bias and discrimination.

¹ The "Covington Recommendations" refer to the recommendations made by the law firm of Covington & Burling, LLP after an investigation into Uber's workplace culture, and adopted by Uber's Board of Directors. They are available at <https://drive.google.com/file/d/0B1s08BdVqCgrUVM4UHBpTGROLXM/view> and on the Settlement Administrator's website for this settlement at [to be inserted].

Revised April 13, 2018

- **Bonus decisions.** Uber will consider significant leadership contributions to its employee resources groups and diversity and inclusion programs in awarding annual cash bonuses.
- **Analysis of compensation.** Uber will monitor compensation and promotions for adverse impact based on gender and race or Hispanic status for any group that comprises at least 2% of the work force.
- **Mentoring.** Uber will offer to every interested Class Member a mentor who has been trained in his or her role, and will invite feedback from the mentees on the engagement and effectiveness of their mentors.
- **New hire check-ins.** Uber will provide all Software Engineer 1 new hires with a check-in approximately three months after their date of hire. At that time, Uber will outline in writing any technical and non-technical skill or competency gaps detected as of that date, the resources Uber shall make available to address them, the steps the employee should take to address them (including self-study), and a date for a follow-up assessment of progress.
- **Parental leave.** Uber will maintain and make available to all employees written policies on maternity, paternity, and parental leave; accommodation for breastfeeding and the location of lactation rooms; flexible work hours; and the procedure for requesting a reduced schedule with reduced pay.

Uber is required to provide written reports to your lawyers (called Class Counsel) setting forth its progress in implementing these changes twice a year for the next two years and once at the end of the third year. If Class Counsel believes that Uber is not fulfilling its responsibility under the settlement, any dispute will be submitted to a third-party neutral, called a Special Master. You are encouraged to share your experiences with Class Counsel during the three-year monitoring period, to help them in ensuring that the goals of the Settlement are achieved.

7. What can I get from the settlement?

If you are a Class and/or Collective Member and if the settlement is granted final approval, then you will be mailed a check for your share of the settlement. Under the current schedule, you should receive your check around [insert approximate date].

How You Get a Payment

8. How can I get a payment?

You do not need to submit anything to get your share of the settlement funds from Fund A.

To receive a share of the settlement funds from Fund B, you need to complete and properly submit the enclosed Claim Form describing any (a) gender, race or national origin harassment or hostile work environment, regardless of whether or not you sought medical or psychological treatment, and/or (b) gender, race or national origin discrimination in compensation, raises, job assignments, job code placement, evaluations, ratings, promotions,

Revised April 13, 2018

demotions, or other terms and conditions of employment that caused you to seek medical or psychological treatment, in either case while employed by Uber on or after July 31, 2013. (Do not include emotional distress damages such as anxiety and depression based on discriminatory personnel decisions or based on unequal pay that did not cause you to seek medical or psychological treatment because Fund A compensates for that distress.)

Harassment and hostile work environment are defined on page [] of the Claim Form. The Claim Form will be scored according to objective criteria, and depending on the score your form receives, you may receive an additional payment. Returning a signed Claim Form is not a guarantee that you will receive money from Fund B. Regardless, you will still receive money from Fund A.

You can submit a Claim Form by mail, email, or online as follows:

[Settlement Administrator contact information, including address, email address, and website].

Submission of Claim Forms by email or website is encouraged to facilitate timely processing of all Claim Forms.

Claim Forms must be received by the Settlement Administrator or postmarked by [date]. The Settlement Administrator will then score all the Claim Forms, and on approximately [date], inform you of the amount of money, if any, that you will receive from Fund B.

9. When would I get my payment?

The Court will hold a hearing on [insert fairness hearing date], to decide whether to approve the settlement. If Judge Gonzalez Rogers approves the settlement, and there are no appeals, we estimate that checks will be mailed around [insert approximate date]. However, because it is always possible for there to be unexpected delays or appeals, it is possible that the payments will be delayed by a year or more, or that an appeals court will determine that the payments cannot be made.

We will provide regular updates of the status of the Settlement at www._____.com. If your contact information changes, please submit your new contact information to the Settlement Administrator or Class Counsel via that website.

10. What am I giving up to get a payment?

According to our records, you are or were a woman or a Latino/Latina/Hispanic, African American/Black, Native American, Alaskan Native, and/or multiracial (who is in part one of the foregoing races) Uber employee who worked in a Covered Software Engineering Position in the United States on or after July 31, 2013.

Unless you exclude yourself, you will remain as part of the Class and receive a payment, which means that you cannot sue, continue to sue, or be part of any other lawsuit against Uber over the federal and state-law gender, race and national origin discrimination, harassment and hostile environment, fair pay / unequal pay, California Labor Code and California Business and Professions Code issues in this case. However, if you are a Collective Member (*i.e.*, a female Uber employee of any race who worked in a Covered Software Engineering Position in the

Revised April 13, 2018

United States on or after July 31, 2013) and you do not cash your check, you will not release your federal Equal Pay Act claim.

Unless you exclude yourself, it also means that all of the Court's orders will apply to you and legally bind you, except with respect to the federal Equal Pay Act claims. In other words, you agree to the terms below, which describe the legal claims that you give up if you get settlement benefits:

Upon the Effective Date, and except as to such rights or claims as may be created by this Agreement, each member of the Rule 23 Class, who does not timely opt out pursuant to this Agreement, on his/her behalf and on behalf of his/her respective current, former and future heirs, spouses, executors, administrators, agents, and attorneys, fully releases and discharges all Released Parties from all claims asserted in the Complaint under applicable state, local, and federal law or that are based on the same facts and circumstances as the claims brought in the Complaint.

The rights and claims released ("Released Claims") include: (i) claims for unequal pay, and (ii) claims for disparate treatment and pattern and practice discrimination based on gender, race and national origin with respect to all forms of compensation (including but not limited to salary, bonus, and stock or equity awards), raises, job assignments, job code placement, demotions, denial of promotion, delayed promotion, performance ratings, ratings used for purposes of compensation rewards (including bonus and stock awards), and other terms and conditions of employment; and (iii) claims for disparate impact discrimination based on gender, race and national origin with respect to all forms of compensation (including but not limited to salary, bonus, and stock or equity awards), raises, job assignments, job code placement, demotions, denial of promotion, delayed promotion, performance ratings, ratings used for purposes of compensation rewards (including bonus and stock awards), and other terms and conditions of employment; and (iv) claims for gender, race and national origin harassment.

The Released Claims arise under the following laws and their implementing regulations and include all forms of damages (including but not limited to economic, emotional distress, punitive and exemplary damages, and damages to reputation): (i) Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §§2000e, *et seq.*, (ii) Section 1981 of the Civil Rights Act; (iii) for those who work or worked in California: the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, Cal. Gov't Code §12940, *et seq.*, the California Equal Pay Act, Cal. Lab. Code §1197.5, the California Fair Pay Act, Cal. Lab. Code §§1197.5 and 1194.5 (on and after January 1, 2016), the California's Business & Professions Code §17200, *et seq.*, the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act (PAGA), Cal. Lab. Code §§2698-2699, and Cal. Lab. Code §§201, 202, 203, 204, and 558.1; and (iv) for those who work or worked in the District of Columbia or in states outside of California, the state and local laws of similar import in their respective jurisdictions, including, but not limited to, Arizona Rev. Stat. Ann. §23-341, Colorado Rev. Stat. Ann. §8-5-101, *et seq.*; Illinois Equal Pay Act of 2003, 820 Ill. Comp. Stat. 112/1, *et seq.*; Kentucky Equal Pay Law, Ky. Rev. Stat. Ann. §337.423; Massachusetts Gen. Laws Ann. 149 §105A; Michigan Comp. Laws Ann. §408.423 and §750.556; Missouri. Rev. Stat. §290.400; New Jersey Equal Pay Act, N.J. Rev. Stat. §34:11-56.1, *et seq.*; Equal Pay Provision of the New York State Labor Law, N.Y. Labor Law §194; Ohio Minimum Fair Wage Standards Act, Ohio Rev. Code Ann. §4111.17(A); Oregon Equal Pay Statute, Or. Rev. Stat. §652.220;

Revised April 13, 2018

Pennsylvania Equal Pay Law, 43 Pa. Cons. Stat. §336.3; and Washington Rev. Code §49.12.175. The Released Claims are limited to the facts and circumstances pled in the Complaint and do not include claims for, among other things, disability discrimination, retaliation, or wrongful discharge.

Only as to the claims released herein, each member of the Rule 23 Class waives all rights and benefits afforded by Section 1542 of the Civil Code of the State of California, and does so understanding the significance of that waiver. Section 1542 provides:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor.

Those Rule 23 Class Members who did not opt into the EPA collective action by cashing their settlement check do not release their potential federal EPA claims, 29 U.S.C. §206(d).

Per the requirements of the Fair Labor Standards Act, of which the federal Equal Pay Act is part, the release of federal Equal Pay Act claims shall apply only to those Collective Members who opt in or are deemed to have already opted in under this Settlement. Cashing a check to receive money from the Settlement constitutes opting in. The check received by Collective Members will include text substantially similar to the following legend:

I have received and read the Class Notice in *del Toro Lopez, et al. v. Uber Technologies, Inc.* By negotiating this check and accepting payment, I (i) consent to join in this lawsuit and the Equal Pay Act collective action, (ii) elect to participate in the Settlement, and (iii) agree that I have waived and released the Released Parties from all Released Claims as defined in the Settlement Agreement and in the Notice in this lawsuit. This Release shall become effective on the Effective Date.

Excluding Yourself from the Settlement

If you do not want a payment from this settlement, and you want to keep the right to sue Uber, on your own, about the legal issues in this case, then you may need to take steps to get out. If you have a pending lawsuit, speak to your lawyer in that lawsuit immediately, because you may have to exclude yourself from this Class and/or Collective to continue your own lawsuit. This is called excluding yourself from—or opting out of—the Settlement Class.

Depending on whether or not you choose to submit a Claim Form, your opt-out deadline will change. **Please read the deadlines below carefully**, and contact your lawyers (called Class Counsel) if you have any questions about your deadlines.

11. How do I get out of the settlement?

To exclude yourself from (opt out of) the settlement and not release any claims, you must send a letter saying that you want to be excluded from *del Toro Lopez v. Uber Technologies, Inc.* and that you understand that you will not receive money from it. You must include your (1) name, (2) address, (3) telephone number, and (4) signature.

Revised April 13, 2018

If you do not submit a Claim Form, your exclusion (or opt-out) request must be received or postmarked by [insert date].

If you do submit a Claim Form, your opt-out request must be received or postmarked by [insert date].

Exclusions (opt-outs) should be sent to:

del Toro Lopez v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Settlement Administrator
XXXX [including email address and website]

You cannot exclude yourself (opt out) by phone. If you ask to be excluded, you will not get any settlement payment, and you cannot object to the settlement. You will not be legally bound by anything that happens in this lawsuit. You may be able to sue (or continue to sue) Uber in the future.

12. If I exclude myself, can I get money from this settlement?

No. If you exclude yourself, you will not receive money. But you may sue, continue to sue, or be part of a different lawsuit against Uber on your own.

Your privacy

13. Will my manager know whether or how I responded to this Notice?

All administration of the Settlement (including review of Claim Forms, handling of opt-out requests and objections, and processing of settlement checks) is being handled by an independent, experienced, Court-appointed Settlement Administrator called JND Legal Administration. The Settlement Administrator will report to your lawyers (called Class Counsel) and to Uber's outside lawyers (who are not employed by Uber, but are representing them) regarding which Claim Forms, exclusion requests, and comments (including objections) were submitted.

Uber has agreed that no information about these submissions (or lack thereof) will be shared within the company unless there is a need to know in order to implement the settlement. For example, this information may be viewed by a small number of people in Human Resources who need to process the information for tax purposes, and Uber's outside lawyers may request personnel file information or other records to verify details provided in Claim Forms.

The Lawyers Representing You

14. Do I have a lawyer in this case?

The Court appointed the following attorneys to represent you and the other Class and/or Collective Members: Adam Klein, Jahan C. Sagafi, Rachel Bien, Rachel Dempsey, and Iris Mattes of Outten & Golden, LLP.

Revised April 13, 2018

Together, these lawyers are called Class and Collective Counsel or Plaintiffs' Counsel. You will not be charged for these lawyers' work in securing the settlement benefits for you and the other Class and/or Collective Members. If you want to be represented by your own lawyer, you may hire one at your own expense.

15. How will Class Counsel and the Class Representatives be paid?

Class Counsel will ask the Court for attorneys' fees of up to 25 percent of the \$10,000,000 fund and reimbursement of out-of-pocket costs of up to \$170,000, consistent with the terms of the Settlement Agreement. The attorneys' fees and costs, as awarded by the Court, shall be paid from the \$10,000,000 fund created by the settlement. In addition, Class Counsel will apply to the Court for a service award of up to \$50,000 for Representative Roxana del Toro Lopez and up to \$30,000 for Representative Ana Medina, who made this case possible by stepping forward as named Plaintiffs, provided evidence, and guided strategy in this case. These service awards are being requested in recognition of the time, effort, and risk incurred by the two Representatives in securing the benefits of this Settlement for you and the other Class and/or Collective Members. In addition, these two Representatives are agreeing to release more legal claims than you and the other Class and/or Collective Members are releasing.

Commenting on (including objecting to) the settlement

You can tell the Court what you think about the settlement.

16. How do I tell the Court that I like or don't like the settlement?

If you are a Class Member and you decide to participate in the settlement, you can comment on (including objecting to) the settlement. You can give reasons why you think the Court should or should not approve it.

The Court cannot order a larger (or smaller, or otherwise different) settlement; it can only approve or deny the settlement. If the Court denies approval, there will be no settlement at this time, no settlement payments will be sent out, and the lawsuit will continue. If that is what you want to happen, you should object, and explain your reasoning for the Court's benefit.

You may comment on and object to the proposed settlement in writing. You may also appear at the Final Approval Hearing, either in person or through your own attorney. If you appear through your own attorney, you are responsible for paying that attorney. All written comments and objections and supporting papers must (a) clearly identify the case name and number (*del Toro Lopez v. Uber Technologies, Inc.*, Case Number 17 Civ. 36255), (b) be submitted to the Court either by mailing them to the Class Action Clerk, United States District Court for the Northern District of California, 1301 Clay Street, Oakland, CA 94612, or by filing them in person at any location of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, and (c) be filed or postmarked on or before [insert deadline].

The Court will only require substantial compliance with the requirements for submitting an objection.

Revised April 13, 2018

17. What's the difference between objecting and excluding?

Objecting is simply telling the Court that you do not like something about the settlement. You can object only if you stay in the Class. Excluding yourself is telling the Court that you do not want to be part of the Class. If you exclude yourself, you have no basis to object because you are out of the Class, so the case no longer affects you.

The Court's Fairness Hearing

The Court will hold a fairness hearing to decide whether to approve the settlement. You may attend and you may ask to speak, but you do not have to.

18. When and where will the Court decide whether to approve the settlement?

The Court will hold a fairness hearing at [insert time and date], at the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, 1301 Clay Street, Oakland, CA 94612, in Courtroom One on the Fourth Floor, before the Honorable Gonzalez Rogers, United States District Judge. At this hearing, the Court will consider whether the settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate. If there are objections, the Court will consider them. Judge Gonzalez Rogers will listen to people who wish to speak at the hearing, if any. The Court may also decide how much to pay Class Counsel and the Representatives. After the hearing, the Court will decide whether to approve the settlement. We do not know how long these decisions will take. The fairness hearing may be postponed without further notice to the Class. If you plan to attend the hearing, you should check www.uscourts.gov or the Court's PACER site at <https://ecf.cand.uscourts.gov> to confirm that the date has not been changed.

19. Do I have to come to the hearing?

No. Class Counsel represent you and will answer any questions Judge Gonzalez Rogers may have. But you are welcome to come at your own expense. If you send a comment (including an objection), you do not have to come to Court to talk about it. As long as you submitted it on time, the Court will consider it. You may also pay your own lawyer to attend, but it's not necessary.

20. May I speak at the hearing?

You may ask the Court for permission to speak at the fairness hearing. To do so, you must send a letter to the Class Action Clerk at the address listed in section 16, saying that it is your "Notice of Intention to Appear at the Fairness Hearing in *del Toro Lopez v. Uber Technologies, Inc.*, Case Number 15 Civ. 36255." You must include your name, address, telephone number, and signature. Your Notice of Intention to Appear must be postmarked or emailed no later than [insert deadline]. This requirement may be excused upon a showing of good cause.

You cannot speak at the hearing if you excluded yourself, because the case no longer affects you.

Revised April 13, 2018

If You Do Nothing

21. What happens if I do nothing at all?

According to our records, you are or were a woman (of any race or national origin) or a Latino/Latina/Hispanic, African American/Black, Native American, Alaskan Native, and/or multiracial (who is in part one of the foregoing races) Uber employee who worked in a Covered Software Engineer Position at any time between July 31, 2013 and [insert Preliminary Approval date]. If you do nothing, you will release your claims as described above in section 10 and will receive money from Fund A. If you submit a Claim Form, you will release your claims as described above in section 10, will receive money from Fund A, and may receive money from Fund B. Regardless, if you cash your check, you will release any federal Equal Pay Act claims as described above in section 10.

You are not required to take any action or not take any action. It is your choice.

Getting More Information

22. Are there more details about the settlement?

This Notice is intended to be a summary of the terms of the Settlement. The Settlement Agreement, First Amended Complaint, and this Notice are all available at www._____.com.

You may also obtain this information by contacting the Settlement Administrator at [insert phone number], class counsel at Outten & Golden LLP at (415) 638-8800, by accessing the Court docket in this case through the Court's Public Access to Court Electronic Records (PACER) system at <https://ecf.cand.uscourts.gov>, or by visiting the office of the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court for the Northern District of California at 1301 Clay Street, Oakland, CA 94612, between 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding Court holidays.

PLEASE DO NOT TELEPHONE THE COURT OR THE COURT CLERK'S OFFICE TO INQUIRE ABOUT THIS SETTLEMENT OR THE CLAIM PROCESS.

By order of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

Dated: [DATE]

Exhibit B

del Toro Lopez v. Uber Class Action Settlement

Claim Form

Section A: Instructions

1. **The purpose of this Claim Form.** You should submit this Claim Form if you experienced, and seek an award for, either or both of the following:
 - a. gender, race or national origin harassment or hostile work environment, regardless of whether or not you sought medical or psychological treatment (see Section C.3. below for definitions), and/or
 - b. gender, race or national origin discrimination that caused you to seek medical or psychological treatment. (If you did not seek such treatment, do not include emotional distress damages such as anxiety and depression based on discriminatory personnel decisions or based on unequal pay because Fund A compensates for that distress.)

You must have experienced the harm while employed by Uber between July 31, 2013 and [date of preliminary approval order].

The Settlement Administrator will evaluate the facts you include in your Claim Form based on the criteria described in the Notice, with assistance from your lawyers (called Class Counsel) and Uber's outside law firm's lawyers, to determine how much money from Fund B you should receive, if any.

2. **How this form will be used.** The money from Fund B that you receive (if any) will be determined based on a combination of your answers to these questions, your work history at Uber and Uber's records. Submitting this Claim Form does not guarantee that you will receive money from Fund B.
3. **This is confidential.** All information contained in this Claim Form will be kept confidential. It will be viewed only by three entities: (a) the Court-appointed Settlement Administrator, (b) your lawyers ("Class Counsel," Outten & Golden LLP), and (c) Uber's outside lawyers (Paul Hastings LLP). Uber's outside lawyers will not share this Claim Form or the information in it with anyone at Uber. Uber's outside lawyers may request information from Uber, such as a personnel file or other records, to validate information in this Claim Form. The goal of all three entities in reviewing Claim Forms is to ensure that every Claim Form is given an appropriate score, and the money from Fund B is paid out fairly.
4. **Details are important.** Please include all details that can help explain why your Claim Form merits an award of money from Fund B.
5. **How to complete this form.** You can complete this form online (at [website]), in hard copy, or by filling out a PDF. Because of the details requested, you may want to use additional pages. If you do so, please put your name, Uber ID number or the last four digits of your Social Security number, and the question number(s) on each additional page you submit.
6. **Time period.** This Claim Form focuses on your employment at Uber from July 2013 to [date of Preliminary Approval].

7. **How this form works.** This form has five sections. Section A (this section) is the Instructions. Section B asks about your personal information and work history at Uber. Section C allows you to provide information about relevant incidents of harassment and discrimination at Uber. Section D allows you to provide information about the impact that those incidents had on you. Section E is where you sign and date the form.
8. **How & when to submit this form.** To be eligible to receive money from Fund B, your completed Claim Form must be received or postmarked by **[date]**, to:
[Claims Administrator]
[address]
[email, fax, and website]

Submission by email or website is encouraged to facilitate timely processing of all forms.

9. **Questions?** If you would like any assistance with this Claim Form or any information about the Settlement, please contact Class Counsel at ubersettlement@outtengolden.com or 415-638-8800. One of Class Counsel's duties is to answer your questions. Do not call the Court, the Clerk of Court, or Uber.

Section B: Personal Information

Contact & Identification Information	
1. Name	
2. Date of Birth (mm/dd/yyyy)	
3. Uber ID number or last four digits of Social Security Number	
4. Gender	<input type="checkbox"/> Man <input type="checkbox"/> Woman <input type="checkbox"/> Trans Man <input type="checkbox"/> Trans Woman <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify: _____)
5. Race / Ethnicity (check all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> American Indian or Alaska Native <input type="checkbox"/> Black or African American <input type="checkbox"/> Hispanic or Latino/a <input type="checkbox"/> White <input type="checkbox"/> Multi-racial (please specify: _____) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify: _____)
Contact Information	
6. Mailing Address	
7. Home Phone Number	
8. Cell Phone Number	
9. E-Mail Address	
10. Best time of day/week to contact you and preferred mode(s) of contact	
Positions at Uber	
11. Please list your position(s) at Uber and the dates you held the position(s).	
Your Job Title	Dates

Section C: Questions About Harassment and Discrimination

1. **Background instructions.** This section of the Claim Form allows you to provide information about the harassment and discrimination you experienced in a Covered Software Engineer Position at Uber between July 31, 2013 and [date of preliminary approval order]. Please provide detailed information about specific incidents and behaviors here. (Section D allows you to provide information about the impact that those incidents and behaviors had on you personally, so don't include information about impact here in Section C.)
2. **The purpose of this section.** The purpose of this section is to determine whether, during your employment in a Covered Software Engineer Position at Uber between July 31, 2013 and [date of preliminary approval order], you experienced, and seek an award for, either or both of the following:
 - a. gender, race or national origin harassment or hostile work environment, regardless of whether or not you sought medical or psychological treatment (see Section C.3. below for definitions), and/or
 - b. gender, race or national origin discrimination that caused you to seek medical or psychological treatment. (If you did not seek such treatment, do not include emotional distress damages such as anxiety and depression based on discriminatory personnel decisions or based on unequal pay because Fund A compensates for that distress.)
3. **What types of incidents/behaviors to include in this section.** You should include all instances of harassment and a hostile work environment based on gender, race, or ethnicity that impacted you and for which you seek a monetary award in this case. Harassment and/or a hostile work environment can include, but is not necessarily limited to, the following:
 - Written or spoken comments (including jokes, epithets, stereotypes, teasing) about your or someone else's gender, race, or ethnicity that are offensive to you.
 - Any demeaning, intimidating, threatening, or hurtful comments or behavior (including undesired sexual advances, unwanted sexual attention, sexual coercion, pressure for sexual favors, lack of appropriate recognition, sexist or racist remarks, and threats to an individual or group (whether directed at a particular individual or not) based on gender, race or national origin, or bullying) that shows hostility toward you or someone else because of gender, race or ethnicity.
 - The display of images (including online images, graffiti, drawings, symbols of violence, hatred or disrespect (such as comparing an individual to an ape), etc.) based on gender, race, or ethnicity that are offensive to you.
 - Unwanted touching, caressing, assault, physical violence or the threat of physical violence, or other physical harassment based on gender, race, or ethnicity.
 - Harassment based on non-conformance with social or cultural expectations of how men and women usually act (including gender-stereotyped assumptions about family responsibilities).
 - Harassment based on, or because of, a person's sexual orientation, gender identity, transgender status or intention to transition gender status; pregnancy, childbirth or related medical conditions; lactation; or race-linked traits such as facial features, hair or skin tone; or ancestors' place of origin, ethnic or cultural characteristics (such as diet or attire), or linguistic characteristics such as an accent.
 - Any instances of harassment or hostile work environment you describe need not have resulted in you seeking medical or psychological treatment. However, if you include instances of discrimination, those must have resulted in you seeking medical or psychological treatment.

This is not an exhaustive list of the things that constitute harassment.

4. **How this section works.** In this section, please describe each instance of harassment or discrimination, collection of similar related instances, or similar behaviors. If a similar type of incident or behavior occurred repeatedly, you may describe the experience as one event and provide the first date, last date and frequency at which it occurred.
5. **Additional pages for this section.** This section provides four pages on which you can describe up to four incidents, collection of related incidents, or similar behaviors. You may provide more than four. If you would like to describe more, please copy the fourth page, cross out the number “4,” and write the appropriate number (e.g., 5, 6, 7, etc.) on the page.
6. **The mechanics of completing this Claim Form.** Please note that you can complete this form online at [website], or in hard copy, or by filling out a PDF. Because the questions in this section ask for details, and because the answer spaces are short, you are welcome to type out your answers on blank paper, and simply write “see attached pages” in your answer to the question. If you do so, please put your name, Uber ID number or last four digits of your Social Security number, and the question number(s) on each additional page you submit.
7. **Scoring of the Claim Form.** The Settlement Administrator, with input jointly from Class Counsel (your lawyers at Outten & Golden LLP) and Uber’s lawyers, will score each Claim Form according to the factors set forth in the Notice, available at [website], and any instructions by the Court.
8. **Assistance.** If you would like assistance filling out this form, please contact your lawyers, Class Counsel, at ubersettlement@outtengolden.com or 415-638-8800. They are available to answer any questions you might have. Do not call the Court, the Clerk of Court, or Uber.

Item #1. Incident, collection of related incidents, or behavior regarding harassment or discrimination.

a. Describe the incident(s)/behavior. Please specifically describe the substance of the offensive harassment or discrimination in as much detail as you can, including who said or did what to whom.

b. Who. Please provide details about the people responsible, including their name, gender, race, ethnicity, and job title (if known).

c. Witnesses. Please provide details about who was present, including their name, gender, race, ethnicity, and job title (if known).

d. When. Please provide date(s), time(s), or if it occurred repeatedly and you do not recall each date, state the first date, last date and frequency.

e. Where. Please provide details about where this occurred.

f. Response. What did you say or do in response, if anything? If you complained to someone at Uber or made a complaint to a governmental agency about the issue, please include details of that complaint.

Item #2. Incident, collection of related incidents, or behavior regarding harassment or discrimination.

a. Describe the incident(s)/behavior. Please specifically describe the substance of the offensive harassment or discrimination in as much detail as you can, including who said or did what to whom.

b. Who. Please provide details about the people responsible, including their name, gender, race, ethnicity, and job title (if known).

c. Witnesses. Please provide details about who was present, including their name, gender, race, ethnicity, and job title (if known).

d. When. Please provide date(s), time(s), or if it occurred repeatedly and you do not recall each date, state the first date, last date and frequency.

e. Where. Please provide details about where this occurred.

f. Response. What did you say or do in response, if anything? If you complained to someone at Uber or made a complaint to a governmental agency about the issue, please include details of that complaint.

Item #3. Incident, collection of related incidents, or behavior regarding harassment or discrimination.

a. Describe the incident(s)/behavior. Please specifically describe the substance of the offensive harassment or discrimination in as much detail as you can, including who said or did what to whom.

b. Who. Please provide details about the people responsible, including their name, gender, race, ethnicity, and job title (if known).

c. Witnesses. Please provide details about who was present, including their name, gender, race, ethnicity, and job title (if known).

d. When. Please provide date(s), time(s), or if it occurred repeatedly and you do not recall each date, state the first date, last date and frequency.

e. Where. Please provide details about where this occurred.

f. Response. What did you say or do in response, if anything? If you complained to someone at Uber or made a complaint to a governmental agency about the issue, please include details of that complaint.

Item #4. Incident, collection of related incidents, or behavior regarding harassment or discrimination.

a. Describe the incident(s)/behavior. Please specifically describe the substance of the offensive harassment or discrimination in as much detail as you can, including who said or did what to whom.

b. Who. Please provide details about the people responsible, including their name, gender, race, ethnicity, and job title (if known).

c. Witnesses. Please provide details about who was present, including their name, gender, race, ethnicity, and job title (if known).

d. When. Please provide date(s), time(s), or if it occurred repeatedly and you do not recall each date, state the first date, last date and frequency.

e. Where. Please provide details about where this occurred.

f. Response. What did you say or do in response, if anything? If you complained to someone at Uber or made a complaint to a governmental agency about the issue, please include details of that complaint.

Section D: The Impact of the Harassment or Discrimination on You

Impact

Describe the impact of the incident(s)/behavior(s) from Section C. Please specifically describe the impact that the incident(s)/behavior(s) described in Section C had on you personally since July 31, 2013. This includes all types of impact (including, for example, emotional, psychological, financial, professional, physical, and medical impact, etc.). If you suffered physical or psychological effects (e.g., stomach problems, sadness, weight loss or gain, anxiety, hair loss, sleeping problems, depression, headaches, grinding teeth, loss of self-esteem, humiliation, unusual stress, etc.), please describe them. If you received medical treatment (e.g., doctor visits, medication, etc.), please describe it. Please provide supporting documentation if you have any (e.g., doctor's notes or bills).

Section E: Signature and Date

You must sign and date this Claim Form for your claim to be valid.

I, (print your name) _____, declare, under penalty of perjury, that the information I have provided on this form and any supplemental pages submitted with this form is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, and, if called upon to testify under oath, I would testify that the information I have provided is true. I understand that intentionally submitting false information on this form is a violation of the law.

_____, 2018
Your signature Date

Your Claim Form must be received by the Settlement Administrator or postmarked by [DATE].
A self-addressed envelope has been enclosed for returning the Claim Form.

Please submit this Claim Form to:

CLAIMS ADMINISTRATOR

[address]
[email address]
[fax number]
[website]

Submission by email or website is encouraged to facilitate timely processing of all forms.

Exhibit C

Settlement Administrator
[insert address]

**Notice of class action settlement to
certain Uber software engineers**

[window]

**According to our records,
you are eligible for a settlement payment. This payment may
be increased if you submit a Claim Form (enclosed blue form)
by [insert deadline].**

Details are in the legal notice (enclosed white form).

Exhibit D

Jahan C. Sagafi (SB# 224887)
Rachel W. Dempsey (SB# 310424)
Laura Iris Mattes (SB# 310594)
OUTTEN & GOLDEN LLP
One Embarcadero Center, 38th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 638-8800
Facsimile: (415) 638-8810
E-mail: jsagafi@outtengolden.com
E-mail: rdempsey@outtengolden.com
E-mail: imattes@outtengolden.com

Adam T. Klein (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Rachel M. Bien (SB# 315886)
OUTTEN & GOLDEN LLP
685 Third Avenue, 25th Floor
New York, New York 10017
Telephone: (212) 245-1000
Facsimile: (646) 509-2060
E-mail: atk@outtengolden.com
E-mail: rmb@outtengolden.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Proposed Class and
Collective Members*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

ROXANA DEL TORO LOPEZ and
ANA MEDINA, on behalf of
themselves, and all others similarly
situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 4:17-cv-06255-YGR

**REVISED [PROPOSED] ORDER
GRANTING PRELIMINARY
APPROVAL OF PROPOSED CLASS
ACTION SETTLEMENT**

On April 10, 2018, a hearing was held on the motion of Plaintiffs Roxana del Toro Lopez and Ana Medina (“Plaintiffs”) for an order to: (1) conditionally certify the settlement classes; (2) preliminarily approve the parties’ proposed class action settlement (the “Settlement”); (3) appoint Plaintiffs as the Class Representatives, their counsel as Class Counsel, and JND Legal Administration as Settlement Administrator; (4) set the deadlines for filing claims, written exclusions, or objections to the Settlement; (5) approve the forms of notice to the class of the settlement and the Claim Form; and (6) schedule a hearing on the final approval of the Settlement for _____, 2018 (the “Preliminary Approval Motion”). Jahan C. Sagafi and Rachel Dempsey appeared for Plaintiffs. Nancy Abell appeared for Defendant Uber Technologies, Inc. (“Uber”). At the hearing, the Court ordered Plaintiffs to submit supplemental briefing and make certain edits to the Notice and Claim Form. On April 16, 2018, Plaintiffs submitted a supplemental brief and revised proposed Settlement Agreement and exhibits, including a revised Notice and Claim Form.

Having considered the papers on the motion, including the supplemental briefing ordered at the April 10 hearing, the arguments of counsel, and the law, the Court now enters this Preliminary Approval Order and FINDS, CONCLUDES, and ORDERS as follows:

I. NATURE OF ACTION

Plaintiffs allege that Uber engaged in (i) gender, race and national origin discrimination in compensation, raises, job assignments, job code placement, evaluations and ratings, promotions, demotions and other terms and conditions of employment; (ii) unequal pay; (iii) failure to timely pay all wages due; (iv) unfair competition; and (v) gender, race and national origin harassment and hostile work environment, all in violation of federal and California state laws.

Uber disputes and denies all of Plaintiffs’ claims. Uber contends that it has fully complied with all applicable laws at issue in this matter.

1 **II. CONDITIONAL CERTIFICATION OF RULE 23 SETTLEMENT CLASSES AND**
 2 **EPA COLLECTIVE**

3 **A. Rule 23 Settlement Classes and EPA Collective**

4 For settlement purposes only, the Parties have proposed conditional certification the
 5 following classes:

6 (1) all women (of any race or national origin) and all
 7 Latino/Latina/Hispanic, African American/Black, Native American,
 8 Alaskan Native, and/or multiracial individuals (who are in part one of the
 9 foregoing races) who work or worked in a Software Engineer 1 or 2,
 10 Senior Software Engineer 1 or 2, or Staff Software Engineer job title (the
 “Covered Software Engineer Positions”) for Uber in
 the United States between July 31, 2013 and entry of the preliminary
 approval order (“PAO”) (the “Nationwide Rule 23 Class”);

11 (2) all women (of any race or national origin) and all
 12 Latino/Latina/Hispanic, African American/Black, Native American,
 13 Alaskan Native, and/or multiracial individuals (who are in part one of the
 14 foregoing races) who work or worked in the Covered Software Engineer
 Positions who work or worked for Uber in California between July 31,
 2013 and entry of the PAO (the “California Rule 23 Class”);

15 (3) all women who work or worked for Uber in the Covered Software
 16 Engineer Positions in the United States between July 31, 2014 and entry
 of the PAO who opt in (the “Federal EPA Collective”); and

17 (4) all women (of any race or national origin) and all
 18 Latino/Latina/Hispanic, African American/Black, Native American,
 19 Alaskan Native, and/or multiracial individuals (who are in part one of the
 20 foregoing races) who work or worked for Uber in Covered Software
 Engineer Positions in California between June 22, 2016 and entry of the
 PAO (the “PAGA Representative Group”).

21 **B. Conditional California And Nationwide Rule 23 Certification**

22 The Court hereby finds and concludes that for purposes of the Settlement only, the
 23 Nationwide Class and California Class satisfy all of the requirements for certification under Rule
 24 23(a) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

25 1. The Nationwide Class and the California Class are sufficiently numerous that
 26 joinder is impracticable.

2. The members of the Nationwide Class share common issues of fact and law regarding whether Uber's policies and practices discriminate against Class Members, whether they violate Title VII and Section 1981, whether Uber's performance evaluation, compensation, promotion, and job assignment systems are discriminatory, whether harassment and a hostile work environment existed, and what remedies are warranted. The members of the California Class share common issues of fact and law regarding whether Uber's policies and practices discriminate against Class Members, whether they violate the California EPA, the California Labor Code, the UCL, and FEHA, whether Uber's performance evaluation, compensation, promotion, and job assignment systems are discriminatory, whether harassment and a hostile work environment existed, and what remedies are warranted.

3. The Class Representatives' claims are typical of those of the Classes they propose to represent, because they arise out of the same policies and practices and course of conduct complained of by all Class Members.

4. Each Class Representative is an adequate representative of the Classes she proposes to represent, because her interests are co-extensive with those of the Class Members, and she has retained experienced counsel to represent her and the Class Members.

5. Questions of law or fact common to the Classes predominate over individualized issues, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

6. Because certification of the Classes is proposed in the context of a settlement, the Court need not inquire whether the case, if tried as a class action, would present intractable management problems.

Accordingly, the Court hereby certifies the Nationwide Class and the California Class under Rule 23(a) and (b)(3).

C. Conditional Designation of Federal EPA Collective Action under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)

For settlement purposes only, the Parties have proposed certification of the action as a

collective action under section 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), for the EPA claims. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the EPA Class fulfills the requirements for a collective action under section 16(b).

1. Under section 16(b), an action may be maintained by an employee or employees on behalf of others who are “similarly situated.”

2. Here, the Class Members are similarly situated for purposes of a collective action under section 16(b) in that they are female engineers in the Covered Software Engineer Positions at Uber and have been affected by policies and practices that have the purpose and effect of denying them employment opportunities because of their gender.

Accordingly, certification under section 16(b) is appropriate for those Class Members who are female engineers in the Covered Software Engineer Positions between July 31, 2014 and date of preliminary approval who opt in.

III. APPOINTMENT OF CLASS REPRESENTATIVES AND CLASS COUNSEL

A. Class Representatives

The Court finds and concludes that Class Representatives have claims typical of the Members of the Classes they propose to represent, and they are adequate representatives of the Classes they seek to represent. The Court hereby appoints Plaintiffs Roxana del Toro Lopez and Ana Medina to serve as Class Representatives.

B. Class Counsel

The Court finds and concludes that Outten & Golden LLP have extensive experience and expertise in prosecuting discrimination, class and collective actions. The Court appoints Outten & Golden LLP as Class Counsel.

IV. PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT

The Court has reviewed the terms of the Settlement, including the plan of allocation, the division of funds into Fund A and Fund B, and the release of claims. The Court has also read and considered the declaration of Jahan C. Sagafi in support of preliminary approval and the supplemental briefing and supporting documentation that was filed after the Preliminary

Approval hearing. Based on review of those papers and the Court's familiarity with this case, the Court finds and concludes that the Settlement is the result of arms-length negotiations between the Parties conducted after Class Counsel had adequately investigated Plaintiffs' claims and become familiar with their strengths and weaknesses. The assistance of an experienced mediator in the settlement process supports the finding that the Settlement is non-collusive. Based on all of these factors, the Court concludes that the proposed Settlement meets the criteria for preliminary settlement approval. The Settlement has no obvious defects and falls within the range of possible approval as fair adequate, and reasonable, such that notice to the Class Members is appropriate. Accordingly, the Settlement is hereby preliminarily approved.

V. **APPROVAL OF THE NOTICE PLAN**

The Parties have also submitted for this Court's approval a proposed class notice (Exhibit A to the Revised Settlement Agreement) (Third Amended Class Notice ("Class Notice")). After carefully reviewing these documents, the Court finds and concludes as follows:

A. **Best Notice Practicable**

The Class Notice is the best notice practicable under the circumstances and allows Class Members a full and fair opportunity to consider the Settlement.

The Class Notice, based on the model forms supplied by the Federal Judicial Center, fairly, plainly, accurately, and reasonably informs Class Members of appropriate information about: (1) the nature of this action, the definition of the Class, the identity of Class Counsel, and the essential terms of the Settlement, including the plan of allocation; (2) Plaintiffs' forthcoming application for the Class Representatives' Service Awards and the Class Counsel Attorneys' Fees and Costs Award; (3) how Class Members' settlement shares will be calculated; (4) this Court's procedures for final approval of the Settlement, and about Class Members' right to appear through counsel if they desire; (5) how to submit a claim form, comment on or opt out of the Settlement, if a Class Member wishes to do so, and (6) how to obtain additional information regarding this action and the Settlement.

The proposed plan for distributing the Class Notice likewise is a reasonable method

1 calculated to reach all individuals who would be bound by the Settlement. Under this plan, the
 2 Settlement Administrator will distribute the Class Notice to all Class Members by first-class mail
 3 and e-mail to their last known mailing and e-mail addresses. There is no additional method of
 4 distribution that is cost-effective and would be reasonably likely to notify Class Members who
 5 may not receive notice pursuant to the proposed distribution plan. In addition, the Settlement
 6 Administrator will send reminder notices by mail and email to those who have failed to opt out or
 7 submit all required or optional forms by the time the response deadline approaches.

8 **B. CAFA Notice of Proposed Settlement**

9 Within ten days after Plaintiffs' Preliminary Approval Motion was filed, notice of the
 10 Settlement was mailed to the Attorney General of the United States of America and the
 11 appropriate state official in California and all of the other states in which a Class Member is
 12 known by Uber to reside. The notice contains the documents required by 28 U.S.C. § 1715(b)(1)-
 13 (8). On this basis, the notice of the Settlement is approved and the Court finds that Uber has
 14 discharged its obligations under CAFA to provide notice to the appropriate federal and state
 15 officials.

16 **C. PAGA Notice of Proposed Settlement**

17 On the date Plaintiffs' Preliminary Approval Motion was filed, notice of the Settlement
 18 was provided to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency, as required by Cal.
 19 Lab. Code § 2699(l)(2).

20 **D. Approval**

21 Accordingly, the Court finds and concludes that the proposed plan for distributing the
 22 Class Notice will provide the best notice practicable, satisfies the notice requirements of Rule
 23 23(e), and satisfies all other legal and due process requirements. Accordingly, the Court hereby
 24 orders as follows:

- 25 1. The Class Notice, Claim Form, and Notice Envelope are approved.
- 26 2. The manner of distributing the Class Notice to the Class Members is approved.
- 27 3. Promptly following the entry of this order, the Settlement Administrator will
- 28

1 prepare final versions of the Class Notice, incorporating into them the relevant dates and
2 deadlines set forth in this order.

3 4. Within fifteen (15) calendar days following entry of this order, Uber will provide
4 the Settlement Administrator with a database in a format reasonably acceptable to the Settlement
5 Administrator (“Settlement Class List”), that lists, for each Class Member, the Class Member’s
6 full name (maiden and married names, where applicable), last known home address, email (if
7 known), telephone numbers(s), employee identification number, social security number, and
8 number of work weeks worked during each relevant period in each job code including whether
9 those work weeks were full-time versus part-time weeks.

10 5. Within twenty (20) calendar days after receipt of the Class Data List, the
11 Settlement Administrator will send the Notice and Claim Form to all Class Members, by first-
12 class mail to their last known address, and by email to their last known email address(es).

13 6. The Settlement Administrator will perform two skip-traces on returned mail and
14 re-mail the Notice and Claim Form to an updated address (if any) as soon as possible upon return
15 of the undeliverable Notice.

16 7. Plaintiffs will file with their motion for final approval a declaration from the
17 Settlement Administrator of due diligence and proof of mailing with regard to the mailing of the
18 Notice, and will file prior to the hearing on the motion a supplemental declaration from the
19 Settlement Administrator as applicable.

20 8. The Settlement Administrator will take all other actions in furtherance of
21 settlement administration as are specified in the Settlement.

22 **VI. PROCEDURES FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF THE SETTLEMENT**

23 **A. Final Approval Hearing**

24 The Court hereby schedules a hearing to determine whether to grant final approval of the
25 Settlement (the “Final Approval Hearing”) for _____, 2018, at 2:00 p.m. The
26 date of the hearing may be changed without further notice to the Class. However, Plaintiffs are
27 responsible for promptly updating the case website with information about any such change.
28

B. Deadline to Submit Claim Forms

Class Member awards are divided into Fund A and Fund B. All Class Members will receive an award from Fund A. Class Members who submit a Claim Form may also be eligible to receive an award from Fund B. All Class Members who wish to submit Claim Forms must do so within forty-five (45) calendar days from the date of the mailing. For mailed submissions, any item mailed by the deadline, as evidenced by a postmark, shall be deemed to be timely. Submissions may be filed by mail, email, or online website submission.

C. Deadline to Opt Out of the Settlement

1. Form of Opt-Out Request

Any Class Member may opt out of participating in the Settlement by submitting a signed letter to the Settlement Administrator stating that he or she wishes to be excluded from the Settlement. The letter must include the Class Member's name, address, telephone number, and signature.

2. Deadline for Submitting Opt-Out Request

A completed opt-out request will be deemed timely submitted to the Settlement Administrator if it is mailed to the Settlement Administrator by first-class mail and postmarked by not later than forty-five (45) days after the Settlement Administrator first mails the Class Notice to Class Members for those Class Members who do not submit a Claim Form. Class Members who submit a Claim Form opt out of the Settlement no later than twenty-one (21) days after the notice of the amount of their Fund B award is first mailed by the Settlement Administrator. Only those Class Members who submit their opt-out request within the time and by the manner set forth in this Order will be excluded from the Settlement. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3) and (c)(2), the Settlement will have no binding effect on any Class Member who properly opts out of the Settlement in the manner required by this Order.

D. Uber's Right to Rescind Agreement

If five percent (5%) or more of the eligible Class Members validly opt out pursuant to the process set forth herein, Uber will have the right either (i) to withdraw in writing from and

1 rescind this Agreement in which case all actions taken in its furtherance shall be null and void for
 2 all purposes and may not be used or introduced in further litigation except to determine whether
 3 Uber is entitled to withdraw from the Agreement and has validly done so, or (ii) to modify this
 4 Agreement through further negotiations with Class Counsel. Uber must exercise this right within
 5 ten (10) calendar days after the Settlement Administrator sends the final list of all Opt-Out
 6 Statements to Class Counsel and Defendant's Counsel by email. If Uber exercises this option, it
 7 will be solely responsible for the Settlement Administrator's costs incurred up to that date.

8 **E. Deadline for Filing Comments on or Objections to Settlement**

9 Any Class Member who wishes to comment on or object to the fairness, reasonableness,
 10 or adequacy of the Settlement must do so in writing. Class Members who have timely
 11 commented on or objected to the Settlement in writing may also appear at the Final Approval
 12 Hearing, in person or through counsel, but only if they (a) have given written notice of their intent
 13 to appear at the hearing as provided in the Class Notice, or (b) can show good cause why they
 14 could not provide such notice. To be considered, any comment on or objection to the final
 15 approval of the Settlement must (a) clearly identify the case name and number (*Del Toro Lopez v.*
 16 *Uber Technologies, Inc.*, Case Number 17 Civ. 6255), (b) be submitted to the Court either by
 17 mailing the comment or objection to the Class Action Clerk, United States District Court for the
 18 Northern District of California, 1301 Clay Street, Oakland, CA 94612, or by filing them in person
 19 at any location of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, and (c)
 20 be filed or postmarked within twenty-one (21) days after the notice of the amount of Fund B
 21 awards is first mailed by the Settlement Administrator. Any Class Member who does not timely
 22 submit such a written comment or objection will not be permitted to raise such comment or
 23 objection or appear at the Final Approval Hearing, except for good cause shown, and any Class
 24 Member who fails to object in the manner prescribed by this order will be deemed to have
 25 waived, and will be foreclosed from raising, any such comment or objection, except for good
 26 cause shown. The Court will only require substantial compliance with the requirements for
 27 submitting an objection.
 28

1 **F. Deadline for Mailing and Cashing Settlement Share Checks**

2 No later than ten (10) calendar days after the date on which the Court grants final approval
 3 of the settlement, Uber will issue to the Claims Administrator the Gross Fund Payment. The
 4 Claims Administrator will distribute the funds from the Gross Fund, including mailing settlement
 5 checks to Qualified Claimants, providing the PAGA penalty payment to the Labor and Workforce
 6 Development Agency, making attorneys' fees and cost payments, and making Class
 7 Representatives Service Awards payments. Qualified Claimants will have one hundred eighty
 8 (180) calendar days from the date of issuance written on the check to cash their Settlement Share
 9 checks, after which time the checks will expire. Should there remain any residue from the Gross
 10 Fund Value after all payments are made under this Settlement; for example, if any settlement
 11 checks remain uncashed more than 180 calendar days after mailing, the Claims Administrator
 12 shall pay the funds represented by such un-redeemed checks in equal parts to Girls Who Code,
 13 the Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund ("MALDEF") and the NAACP
 14 Legal Defense and Educational Fund, unless it is determined that any *cy pres* beneficiary is
 15 improper, in which case the remaining beneficiaries shall receive the funds pro rata. If no *cy pres*
 16 beneficiary is deemed proper, then the funds shall escheat to the relevant Class Member's state
 17 fund.

18 **G. Deadline for Submitting Motion Seeking Final Approval**

19 Not later than thirty-five (35) days before the Final Approval Hearing, Plaintiffs will file a
 20 motion for final approval of the Settlement.

21 **H. Deadline for Motion for Approval of Class Representatives Payment**

22 Not later than twenty-one (21) days before the expiration of the objection period, Class
 23 Counsel may file a motion for approval of Service Awards for the Class Representatives.

24 **I. Deadline for Motion for Class Counsel Attorneys' Fees and Costs Award**

25 Not later than twenty-one (21) days before the expiration of the objection period, Class
 26 Counsel may file a motion for approval of their Class Counsel Attorneys' Fees and Costs
 27 Payment.
 28

VII. PLAINTIFFS' AND CLASS MEMBERS' RELEASE

If, at the Final Approval Hearing, this Court grants final approval to the Settlement, Plaintiffs and every Class Member who does not opt out will, pursuant to the Settlement, be adjudicated to have granted the release of "Released Claims" as set forth in Section 12.1 of the Settlement and the Class Notice. Each Class Member, by cashing his or her Settlement Check, releases all Released Claims under as set forth in the Settlement.

VIII. APPOINTMENT OF SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATOR

JND Legal Administration is hereby appointed Settlement Administrator to carry out the duties set forth in this Preliminary Approval Order and the Settlement.

IX. SCHEDULING ORDER

The following schedule sets for the sequence for the relevant dates and deadlines based on the preliminary approval of the Settlement on April 19, 2018.

Event	Date
Uber to provide class list data to Administrator	May 4, 2018
Notice disseminated by Settlement Administrator	May 24, 2018
Reminder notices	June 19, 2018
Deadline for Class Members to submit Claim Forms	July 9, 2018
Deadline for Class Members who did not submit Claim Forms to opt out	July 9, 2018
Settlement Administrator submits first opt-out report to Parties	July 10, 2018
Settlement Administrator provides notice to Class Members of their awards from Fund B	August 13, 2018
Fee and Service Award motions due	August 16, 2018
Deadline for Class Members who submitted Claim Forms to opt out	September 6, 2018
Deadline for objections for all Class and Collective Members	September 6, 2018
Uber decides whether to rescind the Settlement	September 17, 2018
Final approval, Service Award fee reply briefs	October 2, 2018
Final Approval Hearing	October 16, 2018
Effective Date (assuming final approval is entered the same day, and there are no appeals)	October 16, 2018

Funding of Settlement	October 26, 2018
Checks mailed to Class Members	November 15, 2018
Approximate deadlines for Class Counsel to report to the Court regarding implementation of the Settlement (to be formally set at the Final Approval Hearing)	February 2019 and May 2019

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____

The Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
United States District Judge

Exhibit E

Jahan C. Sagafi (SBN 224887)
Rachel W. Dempsey (SBN 310424)
Laura Iris Mattes (SBN 310594)
OUTTEN & GOLDEN LLP
One Embarcadero Center, 38th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 638-8800
Facsimile: (415) 638-8810
E-mail: jsagafi@outtengolden.com
E-mail: rdempsey@outtengolden.com
E-mail: imattes@outtengolden.com

Adam T. Klein (*pro hac vice* forthcoming)
Rachel M. Bien (SBN 315886)
OUTTEN & GOLDEN LLP
685 Third Avenue, 25th Floor
New York, New York 10017
Telephone: (212) 245-1000
Facsimile: (646) 509-2060
E-mail: atk@outtengolden.com
E-mail: rmb@outtengolden.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Proposed Class
and Collective Members*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ROXANA DEL TORO LOPEZ and ANA
MEDINA, on behalf of themselves, and all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Defendant.

Case No. 4:17-cv-06255 YGR

**FIRST AMENDED CLASS, COLLECTIVE
ACTION AND PAGA COMPLAINT**

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

1 Plaintiffs Roxana del Toro Lopez and Ana Medina, on behalf of themselves and all others
2 similarly situated, allege as follows:

3 **SUMMARY OF CLAIMS**

4 1. This is a class and collective action brought by Plaintiffs against Uber Technologies,
5 Inc. (“Uber”) alleging violations of the Equal Pay Act (“EPA”), 29 U.S.C. § 206(d); Title VII of the
6 Civil Rights Act of 1964 (“Title VII”), 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e *et seq.*; 42 U.S.C. § 1981 (“Section
7 1981”); the California Fair Employment and Housing Act (“FEHA”), Cal. Gov’t Code § 12940; the
8 California Private Attorneys General Act (“PAGA”), Cal. Lab. Code, § 2698 *et seq.*; Cal Lab. Code
9 §§ 201, 202, 203, 204 and 558.1; the California Unfair Competition Law (“UCL”), Cal. Bus. &
10 Prof. Code § 17200 *et seq.*; and the California Equal Pay Act (“California EPA”), Cal. Lab. Code §
11 1197.5, on behalf of themselves and all similarly-situated employees nationwide.

12 2. Uber is a global provider of on-demand transportation and food delivery services. In
13 2017, Uber generated approximately \$37 billion in revenue.¹ Uber is a major employer with
14 approximately 9,000 U.S. employees, many of whom are engineers.

15 3. As a result of Uber’s policies, patterns, and practices, female engineers and
16 engineers of color employed as Software Engineers 1 and 2, Senior Software Engineers 1 and 2,
17 and Staff Software Engineers receive less compensation and are promoted less frequently than their
18 white and Asian² male counterparts. Herein, “of color” is defined as Latino/Latina/Hispanic,
19 African American/Black, Native American, Alaskan Native, and/or multiracial individuals (who are
20 in part one of the foregoing races).

21 4. In addition to bringing this action on their own behalf, Plaintiffs also bring this
22 action on behalf of classes of similarly situated current and former female engineers and engineers
23 of color employed by Uber in the United States and California, in order to end Uber’s
24 discriminatory policies and make the classes whole.

25 ¹ See “Uber lost \$4.5 billion in 2017, but its revenue jumped,”
26 <http://www.latimes.com/business/technology/la-fi-uber-earnings-20180214-story.html> (last visited
27 February 28, 2018).

28 ² For the purposes of this Complaint, “Asian” includes Asian nationals as well as Asian Americans.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, as Plaintiffs assert federal claims under the EPA, Title VII, and Section 1981.

6. This Court has jurisdiction over the California EPA, UCL, and FEHA claims and claims under Cal Lab. Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 204 and 558.1 because this is a class action in which: (a) there are 100 or more members in the proposed class; (b) at least some members of the proposed class have a different citizenship from Defendant; and (c) the claims of the proposed class members exceed \$5,000,000 in the aggregate.

7. In addition, this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the California EPA, UCL, FEHA, and PAGA claims and claims under Cal Lab. Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 204 and 558.1 because they arise from a common nucleus of operative facts with the federal claims and are so related to the federal claims as to form part of the same case or controversy under Article III of the United States Constitution.

8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Uber. There is general jurisdiction over Uber, as Uber's corporate headquarters are located in this District in San Francisco, California, Uber conducts substantial business throughout this District and in the State of California, and Uber employs thousands of workers in the State.

9. Declaratory and injunctive relief is sought and authorized by 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

10. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)-(c), because Uber maintains offices in this District, conducts business in this District, a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to the claims alleged herein occurred in this District, and records relevant to those events and omissions are maintained and administered here.

11. Venue is also proper in this judicial district under 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(3) because the employment practices alleged in this complaint were committed in this District and Uber administers the employment records relevant to such practices here.

12. Intradistrict Assignment. Per N.D. Cal. Civil L.R. 3-2(c) and 3-5(b), assignment to

the San Francisco or Oakland Division is appropriate because a substantial part of the events or omissions that give rise to the claims asserted herein occurred in San Francisco County, where Uber is based and where Plaintiffs worked for Uber.

13. Plaintiff del Toro Lopez filed a charge of discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (“EEOC”) on November 20, 2017. Pursuant to the EEOC’s work-sharing agreement with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing (“DFEH”), del Toro Lopez’s charge is considered dually filed with the DFEH.

THE PARTIES

Plaintiffs

14. Plaintiff Roxana del Toro Lopez is a female Latina engineer who was employed by Uber as a Software Engineer 1 from May 2015 to March 2017, and as a Software Engineer 2 from March 2017 to August 2017 in San Francisco, California.

15. Plaintiff Ana Medina is a female Latina engineer who has been employed by Uber as a Software Engineer 1 from March 2016 to the present in San Francisco, California.

Defendant

16. Defendant Uber is a corporation formed under the laws of the State of Delaware with its corporate headquarters in the city of San Francisco, California.

17. Upon information and belief, Uber’s California headquarters maintain control, oversight, and direction over the operation of its facilities, including its employment practices.

18. During all relevant times, Uber was Plaintiffs’ employer within the meaning of all applicable statutes.

19. At all times pertinent hereto, Uber has employed more than five hundred people.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

20. Uber maintains uniform employment, compensation, performance review, and promotion policies throughout the United States.

21. Upon information and belief, Uber cultivates and promotes a common corporate culture. Its offices throughout California and the United States use a common organizational

1 structure, organizing employees by common job titles.

2 22. Software engineers at Uber transfer regularly between projects and teams, and are
3 assigned to projects and teams based on need rather than geography.

4 **Performance Evaluations**

5 23. Uber has used a companywide “stack ranking” system for evaluating employee
6 performance, which has required supervisors to rank employees from worst to best.

7 24. This process is an invalid performance measurement system, as it sets arbitrary
8 cutoffs among performers with similar performance. The stack ranking process has forced a
9 distribution of performance ratings outcomes regardless of whether there are meaningful
10 performance differences between individual employees within a particular peer group.

11 25. An employee’s rank is not based on valid and reliable performance measures. The
12 criteria used are not valid or reliable, and they do not properly measure performance. Uber has
13 implemented this performance measurement system in a way that has disadvantaged female
14 employees and employees of color.

15 26. Supervisors have been instructed to use these unreliable qualitative assessments of
16 employees’ performance, known as “perf,” in assigning the employees in their review group a
17 recommended ranking from worst to best. A lower score makes it difficult for an employee to
18 advance professionally.

19 27. This forced ranking process has taken place biannually, and performance review
20 scores have been used for compensation and promotion decisions.

21 28. In this system, female employees and employees of color have been systematically
22 undervalued compared to their white and Asian male peers because female employees and
23 employees of color received, on average, lower rankings despite equal or better performance.

24 29. Performance management systems that include unreliable and invalid criteria create
25 inaccurate and biased outcomes. Upon information and belief, Uber’s stack ranking system has had
26 an adverse impact upon female employees and employees of color.
27
28

Compensation

30. Uber has used common, unvalidated, unreliable, and discriminatory procedures for evaluating employee performance that systematically undervalued female employees and employees of color relative to their similarly situated white and Asian male peers.

31. Upon information and belief, Uber has paid female engineers and engineers of color less compensation (including but not limited to salary, bonus, other cash compensation, equity [e.g., stock, options, etc.], benefits, and other wages and/or other compensation) than it paid to their white and Asian male counterparts.

32. On information and belief, Uber has set initial compensation for female engineers and engineers of color based on their past compensation. To the extent it is the only variable responsible for a gap in compensation based on gender, race, and/or ethnicity, it is discriminatory. In particular, this practice has disadvantaged women, who are generally paid 18% less than men in the same occupation in the marketplace. It has also disadvantaged people of color, who are generally paid significantly less than white workers in the same occupation in the marketplace.

33. In addition, inequity in compensation based on gender, race, and ethnicity compounds over time because periodic compensation decisions, such as salary increases, are affected by current salary and salary band.

34. Uber employees' cash compensation includes two components: salary and bonus. Annually, employees are eligible for a merit increase to their base salary and a bonus. Whether, and how much, an employee receives in any of these categories is determined by his or her performance rating, job title, and manager input. Because female employees and employees of color have been systematically disadvantaged by the stack ranking performance evaluation process, their outcomes in terms of raises and bonuses have suffered compared to their white and Asian male peers.

35. Additionally, Uber employees receive compensation in the form of equity, including but not limited to grants of Restricted Stock Units, Incentive Stock Options, and/or Non-Qualified Stock Options. Upon information and belief, Uber has awarded equity compensation

disproportionately to white and Asian men over women and people of color.

36. Furthermore, Uber employees receive compensation in the form of benefits, such as health care (e.g., medical, dental, vision) benefits, free or discounted Uber rides, retirement benefits (e.g., 401(k) plans), and more. Upon information and belief, Uber has awarded benefits disproportionately to white and Asian men over women and people of color.

37. Uber's uniform employment practices have had a disparate impact against female employees and employees of color, in terms of compensation.

Promotions

38. Uber also employs common, unvalidated, unreliable, and discriminatory procedures for selecting employees for promotion. Because promotions are tied to the performance review process, female employees and employees of color have been adversely impacted in promotions as well. Promotions have not been determined by objective, valid, and/or reliable performance measures. Additionally, because promotions often cause increases in compensation, Uber's promotion practices have caused and compounded compensation inequities that harm and have harmed Plaintiffs and class and collective members.

39. Upon information and belief, female employees and employees of color were promoted at a slower rate than white and Asian male employees.

Hostile Work Environment

40. During much of the relevant period, Uber was a hostile work environment for female engineers and engineers of color. Its "unchecked, hyper-alpha culture" during this period was well-documented in the media and online.³ For example, in a detailed blog post on February 19, 2017, former Uber engineer Susan Fowler described inappropriate sexual advances by her manager against her and other female employees and the failure of Uber's HR department to address their

³ See "Uber's Kalanick Faces Crisis Over 'Baller' Culture," <https://www.usatoday.com/story/tech/news/2017/02/24/uber-travis-kalanick-/98328660/> (last visited January 14, 2018).

1 repeated complaints.⁴

2 41. This hostile work environment was fostered, encouraged, and condoned by the
3 highest levels of management, including former CEO Travis Kalanick and the members of his so-
4 called “A-Team.” The A-Team was a group of higher-level executives sometimes called the “boys’
5 club at the top” of Uber.⁵

6 **Uber Paid Plaintiffs and Other Aggrieved Employees Less Than Their Male and/or White or**
7 **Asian Counterparts and Deprived Them of Opportunities to Advance**

8 42. Plaintiffs were treated differently than their white and Asian male colleagues. For
9 example, del Toro Lopez was initially brought on as an independent contractor as opposed to a full-
10 time employee. This contractor status meant that she received less compensation than full-time
11 Uber employees and was impeded from effectively onboarding to her team because she had limited
12 access to company tools and training sessions. On information and belief, white and Asian male
13 software engineers were not subject to similar treatment.

14 43. In addition, Plaintiffs’ managers assigned them tasks and duties that were less
15 meaningful, challenging, and important than those of similarly situated white and Asian male
16 colleagues. Management also failed to provide them with adequately concrete professional goals or
17 guideposts. On information and belief, their white and Asian male counterparts were not subject to
18 this treatment.

19 44. Despite their strong work effort and performance, Plaintiffs were promoted more
20 slowly than their white and Asian male colleagues.

21 **COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

22 45. Uber has engaged in systemic gender discrimination in pay against its female
23 employees. Uber has caused, contributed to, and perpetuated gender-based pay disparities through
24 common policies, practices, and procedures, including but not limited to common compensation

25 ⁴ See <https://www.susanjfover.com/blog/2017/2/19/reflecting-on-one-very-strange-year-at-uber>
26 (last visited June 15, 2017).

27 ⁵ “A Top Uber Executive Departs, Fraying the Company’s ‘A-Team,’”
28 <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/06/12/technology/uber-travis-kalanick-emil-michael.html> (last
visited January 15, 2018).

1 and performance management policies, and centralized decision-making with respect to pay.

2 46. Plaintiffs seek to be appointed as representatives of the collective.

3 47. Plaintiffs bring collective EPA claims pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) seeking
4 liability-phase injunctive and declaratory relief, monetary damages and other make-whole relief on
5 behalf of a collective of all female engineers in the Software Engineers 1 and 2, Senior Software
6 Engineers 1 and 2, and Staff Software Engineers job titles who were paid less than male employees
7 doing the same or similar work.

8 48. Plaintiffs and the collective are similarly situated in that they are female engineers at
9 Uber and have been affected by policies and practices that have the purpose and effect of denying
10 them employment opportunities because of their gender. These policies and practices result in
11 unequal pay based on sex by failing to compensate members of the collective at a level
12 commensurate with male employees who perform substantially equal work and/or hold equivalent
13 levels, job titles, and positions.

14 49. Plaintiffs and the collective have been paid less than male colleagues in the same
15 establishment for work requiring equal skill, effort, and responsibility and performed under similar
16 working conditions. This unequal pay is not justified by seniority, a merit system, a system that
17 measures earnings by quality or quantity of production, or any factor other than sex.

18 50. There are many similarly situated collective members who would benefit from the
19 issuance of a court-supervised notice and the opportunity to join the present lawsuit. Notice should
20 be sent to the collective pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

21 51. Questions of law and fact common to Plaintiffs and the collective include, but are
22 not limited to, the following:

- 23 a. Whether members of the collective were subjected to an unlawful common policy
24 that resulted in unequal pay for equal work;
- 25 b. Whether Uber unlawfully failed and continues to fail to compensate members of the
26 collective at a level commensurate with similarly situated male employees;
- 27 c. Whether Uber's policy, practice, or procedure of failing to compensate members of
28

the collective at levels commensurate with comparable male employees violates applicable provisions of the EPA; and

- d. Whether Uber's failure to compensate members of the collective at a level commensurate with comparable male employees was willful within the meaning of the EPA.

52. Counts for violation of the EPA may be brought and maintained as an "opt-in" collective action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) for all claims asserted by the Plaintiffs, because their claims are similar to the claims of the collective they seek to represent.

53. Uber is aware or should have been aware that federal law requires it to pay its female employees at a rate commensurate to that of male employees performing substantially similar work.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

54. Plaintiffs bring this class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 on behalf of a California class of all female engineers and engineers of color in the Software Engineers 1 and 2, Senior Software Engineers 1 and 2, and Staff Software Engineers job titles who were employed by Uber in California at any time from July 19, 2016 through the resolution of this action for claims under PAGA, for the period from July 31, 2016 through the resolution of this action for claims under FEHA, for the period from July 31, 2014 through the resolution of this action for claims under the California EPA and Cal. Lab. Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 204 and 558.1, and for the period from July 31, 2013 through resolution of this action for claims under the UCL ("California Class").

55. Plaintiffs also bring this class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 on behalf of a nationwide class of all female engineers and engineers of color in the Software Engineers 1 and 2, Senior Software Engineers 1 and 2, and Staff Software Engineers job titles who were employed by Uber in the United States at any time from October 5, 2016 through the resolution of this action for claims under Title VII, and for the period from July 31, 2013 through resolution of this action for claims under Section 1981 ("Nationwide Class").

56. Plaintiffs are members of both the California and Nationwide Classes they seek to

1 represent.

2 57. The members of each class identified herein are so numerous that joinder of all
3 members is impracticable. Uber has employed approximately 385 female engineers and engineers
4 of color during the relevant time period.

5 58. There are questions of law and fact common to each class, and these questions
6 predominate over any questions affecting only individual members. Common questions include:

- 7 a. whether Uber's policies and practices discriminate against female engineers and
8 engineers of color;
- 9 b. whether Uber's policies and practices violate Title VII, Section 1981, the California
10 EPA, the California Labor Code, PAGA, the UCL, and FEHA;
- 11 c. whether Uber's performance evaluation system discriminates against female
12 engineers and engineers of color;
- 13 d. whether Uber's compensation system discriminates against female engineers and
14 engineers of color;
- 15 e. whether Uber's promotion system discriminates against female engineers and
16 engineers of color;
- 17 f. whether Uber's system for assigning jobs on hire discriminates against female
18 engineers and engineers of color;
- 19 g. whether Uber's management created and cultivated a hostile work environment
20 throughout the company; and
- 21 h. whether equitable remedies, injunctive relief, compensatory damages, and punitive
22 damages for the classes are warranted.

23 59. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the classes they seek to represent.

24 60. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the classes
25 they seek to represent.

26 61. Plaintiffs have retained counsel competent and experienced in complex class actions
27 and employment discrimination litigation.
28

62. Class certification is appropriate as to the California and Nationwide Classes pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2) because Uber has acted and/or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class, making appropriate declaratory and injunctive relief with respect to Plaintiffs and the Class they seek to represent. The California and Nationwide Class members are entitled to injunctive relief to end Uber's common, uniform, unfair, and discriminatory policies and practices.

63. Class certification is also appropriate pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3) because common questions of fact and law predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the classes, and because a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this litigation. The California and Nationwide Class members have been damaged and are entitled to recovery as a result of Uber's common, unfair, and discriminatory policies and practices. Uber has computerized payroll data and personnel data that will make calculation of damages for specific class members relatively simple. The propriety and amount of punitive damages are based on Uber's common conduct, making these issues common to the classes.

CAUSES OF ACTION

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Equal Pay Act (The Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended by The Equal Pay Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206, *et seq.*) (On Behalf of Plaintiffs and the Proposed Collective)

64. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the preceding paragraphs as alleged above.

65. Uber has discriminated against Plaintiffs and the collective in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206, *et seq.*, as amended by the Equal Pay Act of 1963 ("EPA"). Uber has paid Plaintiffs and the collective less than similarly-situated male colleagues performing equal work on jobs the performance of which requires equal skill, effort, and responsibility, and which are performed under similar working conditions.

66. The differential in pay between male and female employees was not due to seniority, merit, quantity, or quality of production, but was due to gender. Uber did not act in good faith, and

1 caused, attempted to cause, contributed to, or caused the continuation of, the wage rate
2 discrimination based on sex in violation of the EPA. Moreover, the foregoing conduct constitutes a
3 willful violation of the EPA within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a). Because Uber has willfully
4 violated the EPA, a three-year statute of limitations applies to such violations, pursuant to 29 U.S.C.
5 § 255(a).

6 67. As a direct result of Uber's discriminatory policies and/or practices as described
7 above, female employees have suffered damages including, but not limited to, lost past and future
8 income, compensation, and benefits.

9 68. Plaintiffs request relief as hereinafter described.

10 **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**
11 **California Equal Pay Act**
12 **(Cal. Labor Code §§1197.5 *et seq.*, 1194.5)**
13 **(On Behalf of Plaintiffs and the California Class)**

14 69. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the preceding paragraphs as alleged above.

15 70. Uber has discriminated and continues to discriminate against female employees and
16 employees of color in violation of California Labor Code § 1197.5 *et seq.* by paying them at wage
17 rates less than the wage rates paid to its white and Asian male engineers for substantially equal or
18 similar work, when viewed as a composite of skill, effort, and responsibility, and performed under
19 similar working conditions.

20 71. Uber's failure to pay female employees and employees of color equal wages for
21 performing substantially equal or similar work is not justified by any lawful reason.

22 72. Uber has willfully violated California Labor Code § 1197.5 by intentionally,
23 knowingly, and/or deliberately paying female employees and employees of color less than white
24 and Asian male engineers for substantially equal or similar work.

25 73. As a result of Uber's ongoing conduct, violation of California Labor Code § 1197.5,
26 and/or willful discrimination, female employees and employees of color have suffered and will
27 continue to suffer harm, including but not limited to lost earnings, lost benefits, and other financial
28 loss, as well as non-economic damages.

74. Plaintiffs request relief as hereinafter described.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF
Discrimination
(Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e *et seq.*,
as amended by the Lilly Ledbetter Fair Pay Act of 2009)
(On Behalf of Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Class)

75. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the preceding paragraphs as alleged above.

76. Uber has engaged in an intentional, company-wide, and systematic policy, pattern, and/or practice of discrimination against its female employees and employees of color. Uber has intentionally discriminated against female employees and employees of color in violation of Title VII by, among other things:

- a. Utilizing a biased performance rating system;
 - b. Utilizing a biased compensation system;
 - c. Utilizing a biased promotion system; and
 - d. Failing to take reasonable and adequate steps to prevent and correct the use of unreliable, unvalidated, and/or illegitimate criteria to determine the terms and conditions of employment.
77. These company-wide policies are intended to and do have the effect of:
- a. Denying female employees and employees of color business opportunities because of their race, ethnicity, and/or gender;
 - b. Compensating them less because of their race, ethnicity, and/or gender;
 - c. Failing to promote them because of their race, ethnicity, and/or gender;
 - d. Evaluating their performance more negatively because of their race, ethnicity, and/or gender; and
 - e. Providing them with inferior terms and conditions of employment as a result of discriminatory performance measures that systematically disadvantaged them because of their race, ethnicity, and/or gender.

78. Uber's reliance on illegitimate and unvalidated systems and criteria to evaluate employee performance, set compensation, select individuals for promotion, and determine other

terms and conditions of employment, also have an adverse impact on female employees and employees of color in violation of Title VII and are not, and cannot be, justified by business necessity. Even if such system and/or policies could be justified by business necessity, less discriminatory alternatives exist and would equally serve any alleged necessity.

79. The discriminatory acts that constitute Uber's pattern and/or practice of discrimination have occurred both within and outside the liability period in this case.

80. As a direct result of Uber's discriminatory policies and/or practices as described above, female employees and employees of color have suffered damages including, but not limited to, lost past and future income, compensation, and benefits.

81. The foregoing conduct constitutes illegal, unjustified disparate treatment and disparate impact on female employees and employees of color in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e *et seq.*

82. Plaintiffs request relief as hereinafter described.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
Hostile Work Environment
(Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e *et seq.*, as amended by the Lilly Ledbetter Fair Pay Act of 2009)
(On Behalf of Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Class)

83. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all other paragraphs as alleged above.

84. Uber subjected Plaintiffs and other female engineers and engineers of color to harassing and discriminatory behavior based upon their race, ethnicity, or gender as alleged herein and/or substantially assisted, encouraged, condoned and failed to remedy the continued harassment, creating a hostile work environment.

85. Class members suffered severe and/or pervasive harassment. The behavior was unwanted, without consent, objected to, and offensive. The unlawful conduct was engaged in and/or ratified by the officers, directors, supervisors and/or managing agents of Uber, who were acting at all relevant times within the scope and course of their employment.

86. The unlawful conduct alleged above, directly and proximately caused female engineers and engineers of color to suffer, and continue to suffer, general damages including but

not limited to shock, embarrassment, humiliation, emotional distress, stress, depression, anxiety, fear, uncertainty, loss of confidence, and other damages to be proven at trial.

87. Uber committed the acts herein alleged maliciously, fraudulently, and oppressively in conscious disregard for class members' rights.

88. Plaintiffs request relief as hereinafter described.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
Discrimination (California Fair Employment and
Housing Act, Cal. Gov't Code § 12940(a))
(On Behalf of Plaintiffs and the California Class)

89. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the preceding paragraphs as alleged above.

90. Uber has engaged in an intentional, company-wide, and systematic policy, pattern, and/or practice of discrimination against its female employees and employees of color. Uber has intentionally discriminated against female employees and employees of color in violation of the FEHA by, among other things:

- a. Utilizing a biased performance rating system;
- b. Utilizing a biased compensation system;
- c. Utilizing a biased promotion system; and
- d. Failing to take reasonable and adequate steps to prevent and correct the use of unreliable, unvalidated, and/or illegitimate criteria to determine the terms and conditions of employment.

91. These company-wide policies are intended to and do have the effect of:

- a. Denying female employees and employees of color business opportunities because of their race, ethnicity, and/or gender;
- b. Compensating them less because of their race, ethnicity, and/or gender;
- c. Failing to promote them because of their race, ethnicity, and/or gender;
- d. Evaluating their performance more negatively because of their race, ethnicity, and/or gender; and
- e. Providing them with inferior terms and conditions of employment as a result of

1 discriminatory performance measures that systematically disadvantaged them
2 because of their race, ethnicity, and/or gender.

3 92. Uber's reliance on illegitimate and unvalidated systems and criteria to evaluate
4 employee performance, set compensation, and select individuals for promotion, and determine other
5 terms and conditions of employment, also have an adverse impact on female engineers and
6 engineers of color in violation of FEHA and are not, and cannot be, justified by business necessity.
7 Even if such systems and/or policies could be justified by business necessity, less discriminatory
8 alternatives exist and would equally serve any alleged necessity.

9 93. The discriminatory acts that constitute Uber's pattern and/or practice of
10 discrimination have occurred both within and outside the liability period in this case.

11 94. Uber has set and/or maintained these discriminatory policies, patterns, and/or
12 practices during the liability period within the state of California.

13 95. As a direct result of Uber's discriminatory policies and/or practices as described
14 above, Plaintiffs and the California Class have suffered damages including, but not limited to, lost
15 past and future income, compensation, and benefits. The foregoing conduct constitutes illegal,
16 intentional discrimination and unlawful disparate impact on female engineers and engineers of color
17 in violation of California Government Code § 12940(a).

18 96. Plaintiffs request relief as hereinafter described.

19 **SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**
20 **Hostile Work Environment**
21 **(California Fair Employment and Housing Act, Cal. Gov't Code § 12940(j))**
22 **(On Behalf of Plaintiffs and the California Class)**

23 97. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all other paragraphs as alleged above.

24 98. Uber subjected Plaintiffs and other female engineers and engineers of color to
25 harassing and discriminatory behavior based upon their race, ethnicity, or gender as alleged herein
26 and/or substantially assisted, encouraged, condoned and failed to remedy the continued harassment,
27 creating a hostile work environment.

28 99. California Class members suffered severe and/or pervasive harassment. The

behavior was unwanted, without consent, objected to, and offensive. The unlawful conduct was engaged in and/or ratified by the officers, directors, supervisors and/or managing agents of Uber, who were acting at all relevant times within the scope and course of their employment.

100. The unlawful conduct alleged above, directly and proximately caused female engineers and engineers of color to suffer, and continue to suffer, general damages including but not limited to shock, embarrassment, humiliation, emotional distress, stress, depression, anxiety, fear, uncertainty, loss of confidence, and other damages to be proven at trial.

101. Uber committed the acts herein alleged maliciously, fraudulently, and oppressively in conscious disregard for California Class members' rights.

102. Plaintiffs request relief as hereinafter described.

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
Race and/or Ethnicity Discrimination
(42 U.S.C § 1981)
(On Behalf of Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Class)

103. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all other paragraphs as alleged above.

104. Uber intentionally discriminated against engineers of color on the basis of race and/or ethnicity by rescinding or denying them contracts to work or deterring them from work opportunities by, among other things:

- a. Utilizing a biased performance rating system;
- b. Utilizing a biased compensation system;
- c. Utilizing a biased promotion system; and
- d. Failing to take reasonable and adequate steps to prevent and correct the use of unreliable, unvalidated, and/or illegitimate criteria to determine the terms and conditions of employment.

105. Uber's intentional discrimination against engineers of color interfered with their right to make and enforce work contracts.

106. Uber's policy and practice of denying work opportunities based on race and/or ethnicity harmed engineers of color and constitutes unlawful race and ethnicity discrimination in

1 the making and enforcing of contracts in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1981.

2 107. Defendant's conduct has caused, and continues to cause, Plaintiffs and the members
3 of the Nationwide Class substantial losses in earnings and other work benefits.

4 108. Plaintiffs request relief as hereinafter described.

5 **EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**
6 **Wage Violations**
7 **(California Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 558.1)**
8 **(On Behalf of Plaintiffs and the California Class)**

9 109. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all other paragraphs as alleged above.

10 110. California Labor Code sections 201, 202, 204, and 558.1 require Defendant to pay
11 its employees all wages due within the time specified by law. California Labor Code section 203
12 provides that if an employer willfully fails to timely pay such wages, the employer must continue to
13 pay the subject employees' wages until the back wages are paid in full or an action is commenced,
14 up to a maximum of thirty days of wages.

15 111. As a consequence of Defendant's willful conduct in not timely paying full
16 compensation for Plaintiffs and California Class members, Plaintiffs seek to recover all penalties
17 allowed under California law together with interest thereon and attorneys' fees and costs.

18 **NINTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**
19 **PAGA**
20 **(California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004,**
21 **Cal Lab. Code §§ 2698-2699.5)**
22 **(On Behalf of Plaintiffs and All Aggrieved Employees)**

23 112. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all other paragraphs as alleged above.

24 113. Under PAGA, an aggrieved employee, on behalf of herself and other current or
25 former employees as well as the general public, may bring a representative action as a private
26 attorney general to recover penalties for an employer's violations of the California Labor Code and
27 IWC Wage Orders. These civil penalties are in addition to any other relief available under the
28 California Labor Code, and must be allocated 75% to California's Labor and Workforce
Development Agency ("LWDA") and 25% to the aggrieved employee, pursuant to California Labor
Code § 2699.

1 114. Plaintiffs allege, on behalf of themselves and all aggrieved employees, as well as the
2 general public, that Defendant has violated the Equal Pay Act, section 1197.5 of the California
3 Labor Code, which is actionable through PAGA.

4 115. In particular, as a result of Uber's common policies and practices, Uber has
5 discriminated against Plaintiffs and aggrieved employees by paying them less than similarly-
6 situated white and Asian male coworkers and failing to promote them at the same or similar rate as
7 their white and Asian male coworkers.

8 116. The differential in pay between female engineers and engineers of color and male
9 and white or Asian engineers was not due to seniority, merit, the quantity or quality of production,
10 or a bona fide factor other than sex and/or race or ethnicity, such as education, training, or
11 experience, but was due to gender and/or race or ethnicity. In the alternative, to the extent that Uber
12 relied upon one or more of these factors, said factor(s) were not reasonably applied and did/do not
13 account for the entire wage differential.

14 117. Uber caused, attempted to cause, contributed to, or caused the continuation of wage
15 rate discrimination based on sex and/or race or ethnicity. The foregoing conduct constitutes a
16 willful violation of the Equal Pay Act, Cal. Lab. Code §1197.5, *et seq.*

17 118. As a result of Uber's willful, knowing, and intentional discrimination, Plaintiffs have
18 suffered and will continue to suffer harm, including but not limited to lost earnings, lost benefits,
19 and other financial loss, as well as non-economic damages.

20 119. This violation entitles Plaintiffs, as private attorneys general, to recover the
21 applicable civil penalties on their own behalf, on behalf of all aggrieved employees, and on behalf
22 of the general public.

23 California Labor Code § 2699(a), which is part of PAGA, provides in pertinent part:
24 Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any provision of this code that provides for a
25 civil penalty to be assessed and collected by the Labor and Workforce Development Agency
26 or any of its departments, divisions, commissions, boards, agencies, or employees, for a
27 violation of this code, may, as an alternative, be recovered through a civil action brought by
28 an aggrieved employee on behalf of themselves or herself and other current or former
employees pursuant to the procedures specified in § 2699.3.

California Labor Code § 2699(f), which is part of PAGA, provides in pertinent part: For all provisions of this code except those for which a civil penalty is specifically provided, there is established a civil penalty for a violation of these provisions, as follows: . . . (2) If, at the time of the alleged violation, the person employs one or more employees, the civil penalty is one hundred dollars (\$100) for each aggrieved employee per pay period for the initial violation and two hundred dollars (\$200) for each aggrieved employee per pay period for each subsequent violation.

120. Plaintiffs are entitled to civil penalties, to be paid by Defendant and allocated as PAGA requires, pursuant to California Labor Code § 2699(a) for Defendant's violations of the California Labor Code for which violations a civil penalty is already specifically provided by law.

121. Plaintiffs are also entitled to civil penalties, to be paid by Defendant and allocated as PAGA requires, pursuant to California Labor Code § 2699(f) for Defendant's violations of the California Labor Code for which violations a civil penalty is not already specifically provided.

122. On July 19, 2017, Plaintiff del Toro Lopez provided written notice by certified mail to the LWDA of the legal claims and theories of this case. Plaintiff simultaneously provided a copy of that notice by certified mail to Defendant. The LWDA did not provide notice "within 65 calendar days of the postmark date of" Plaintiff's notice, so Plaintiffs are entitled to assert this claim. Cal. Labor Code § 2699.3(a)(2).

123. Under PAGA, Plaintiffs and the California Class are entitled to recover the maximum civil penalties permitted by law for the violations of the California Labor Code that are alleged in this Complaint.

TENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
Unlawful and Unfair Business Practices
(Cal. Bus. And Prof. Code § 17200)
(On Behalf of Plaintiffs and the California Class)

124. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all other paragraphs as alleged above.

125. Uber's policies and/or practices of paying female engineers and engineers of color less than white and Asian male engineers for substantially similar work and of discriminating against female engineers and engineers of color in compensation and the terms, conditions, and privileges of employment on the basis of their sex and race/ethnicity constitute business practices because Uber's acts and omissions as alleged herein have been done repeatedly over a significant period of time, and in a systematic manner, to the detriment of Plaintiffs and members of the class.

126. Uber's acts and omissions, as alleged herein, violate the EPA, the California EPA, Title VII, Section 1981, the California Labor Code, PAGA, and FEHA, and therefore constitute unlawful business practices prohibited by Business & Professions Code § 17200 *et seq.*

127. Uber's acts and omissions, as alleged herein, constitute unfair business practices prohibited by Business & Professions Code § 17200 *et seq.* Uber's business practices of paying female engineers and engineers of color less than white and Asian male engineers for substantially similar work, of assigning and keeping female engineers and engineers of color in lower levels and less highly compensated job ladders than similarly-qualified white and Asian male engineers, and of failing to promote female engineers and engineers of color caused harm to Plaintiffs and members of the class that outweighs any reason Uber may have for doing so. Uber's business practices as alleged herein are also immoral, unethical, oppressive, unscrupulous, and offensive to the established public policies of ensuring women and people of color are paid equally to white and Asian male individuals for performing substantially similar work. As a result of its unlawful and/or unfair business practices, Uber has reaped and continues to reap unfair and illegal profits at the expense of Plaintiffs and the class. Accordingly, Uber should be disgorged of its illegal profits, and Plaintiffs and the class are entitled to restitution with interest of such ill-gotten profits in an amount according to proof at the time of trial.

128. Uber's unlawful and/or unfair business practices entitle Plaintiffs and aggrieved employees to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief and other equitable relief available under law.

129. Plaintiffs request relief as hereinafter described.

ALLEGATIONS REGARDING RELIEF

130. Plaintiffs and the class and collective members they seek to represent have no plain, adequate, or complete remedy at law to redress the wrongs alleged herein, and the injunctive relief they seek in this action is the only means of securing complete and adequate relief. Plaintiffs and the class and collective members they seek to represent are now suffering, and will continue to suffer, irreparable injury from Uber's discriminatory acts and omissions.

131. Uber's actions have caused and continue to cause female engineers and engineers of color substantial losses in employment opportunities, earnings, and other employment benefits.

132. In addition, female engineers and engineers of color suffered and continue to suffer emotional distress, humiliation, embarrassment, and anguish, all to their damage in an amount according to proof.

133. Uber performed the acts herein alleged with malice, oppression, or fraud. Plaintiffs and the class and collective members they seek to represent are thus entitled to recover punitive damages in an amount according to proof.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs and the collective pray for relief as follows:

- (a) Certification of the case as a class action on behalf of the proposed Classes;
- (b) Designation of Plaintiffs del Toro Lopez and Medina as representatives of the Classes;
- (c) Designation of Plaintiffs' counsel of record as Class Counsel for the Classes;
- (d) Designation of Plaintiffs as representatives of the PAGA action;
- (e) Certification this action as a collective action under the EPA on behalf of Plaintiffs and the collective; designation of Plaintiffs as the representatives of the collective; prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of the collective, which (1) appries them of the pendency of this action and (2) permits them to assert timely EPA claims in this action by filing individual Consent to Join forms pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b); and tolling of the statute of limitations on the claims of all members of the collective from the date the original Complaint was filed until the collective members are provided with reasonable notice of the pendency of this action and a fair opportunity to exercise their right to opt in as Collective Action Plaintiffs;
- (f) A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful;
- (g) A preliminary and permanent injunction against Uber and its partners, officers,

agents, successors, employees, representatives, and any and all persons acting in concert with them, from engaging in policies, patterns, and/or practices that discriminate against Plaintiffs and class and collective members because of their gender and/or race or ethnicity;

- (h) An order that Uber institute and carry out policies, practices, and programs that provide equal employment opportunities for all employees regardless of gender, race, or ethnicity, and that it eradicate the effects of their past and present unlawful employment practices;
- (i) An order requiring Uber to develop and institute accurate and validated standards for evaluating performance, determining pay, and making promotion decisions;
- (j) An order appointing a monitor to ensure that Uber complies with the injunction provisions of any decree that the Court orders;
- (k) An order retaining jurisdiction over this action to ensure that Uber complies with such a decree;
- (l) An order restoring Plaintiffs and class and collective members to their rightful positions at Uber (i.e., reinstatement), or in lieu of reinstatements, an order for front pay benefits;
- (m) Back pay (including interest and benefits) for Plaintiffs and class and collective members;
- (n) All damages sustained as a result of Uber's conduct, including damages for emotional distress, humiliation, embarrassment, and anguish, according to proof;
- (o) Liquidated damages;
- (p) Exemplary and punitive damages in an amount commensurate with Uber's ability to pay and to deter future conduct;
- (q) All civil penalties recoverable under PAGA;
- (r) Restitution of all monies due to Plaintiffs and class members, as well as disgorgement of Uber's profits from its unlawful and/or unfair business practices;

- 1 (s) Costs and expenses incurred herein, including reasonable attorneys' fees to the
2 extent allowable by law;
- 3 (t) Service awards for Plaintiffs in recognition of the time and risk incurred in asserting
4 these claims on behalf of the class and collective, and the value they have created by
5 doing so;
- 6 (u) Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, as provided by law; and
- 7 (v) Such other and further legal and equitable relief as this Court deems necessary, just,
8 and proper.

9 **JURY DEMAND**

10 Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and 29 U.S.C. § 216(b),
11 Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury in this action.

12
13 Respectfully submitted,

14
15 Dated: March 26, 2018

By: /s/ Jahan C. Sagafi
Jahan C. Sagafi

17 Jahan C. Sagafi (Cal. Bar No. 224887)
18 Rachel W. Dempsey (SBN 310424)
19 Laura Iris Mattes (SBN 310594)
20 OUTTEN & GOLDEN LLP
21 One Embarcadero Center, 38th Floor
22 San Francisco, CA 94111
23 Telephone: (415) 638-8800
24 Facsimile: (415) 638-8810
25 E-mail: jsagafi@outtengolden.com
26 E-mail: rdempsey@outtengolden.com
27 E-mail: imattes@outtengolden.com
28

1 Adam T. Klein (*pro hac vice* forthcoming)
2 Rachel M. Bien (SBN 315886)
3 OUTTEN & GOLDEN LLP
4 685 Third Avenue, 25th Floor
5 New York, New York 10017
6 Telephone: (212) 245-1000
7 Facsimile: (646) 509-2060
8 E-mail: atk@outtengolden.com
9 E-mail: rmb@outtengolden.com

10
11 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Proposed Class*
12 *and Collective Members*
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28